arXiv:1304.3292v1 [math.RT] 11 Apr 2013

RIGID INNER FORMS OF P-ADIC GROUPS

Abstract

We define a new cohomology set $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ for an algebraic group G defined over a local non-archimedean field of characteristic zero and a multiplicative finite central subgroup Z, which is an enlargement of the usual first Galois cohomology set of G. We show how this set can be used to normalize the Langlands-Shelstad endoscopic transfer factors and how this implies a conjectural description of the internal structure of L-packets for connected reductive p-adic groups.

1 INTRODUCTION

Given a field F with separable closure F^{sep} and absolute Galois group $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(F^{\text{sep}}/F)$, and an algebraic group G defined over F, one can define the first Galois cohomology set $H^1(\Gamma, G) := H^1(\Gamma, G(F^{\text{sep}}))$. This set has a variety of applications, a central one being the classification of connected reductive groups defined over F. Such a group G' is classified up to isomorphism by two pieces of data. It is known that there exists a unique up to isomorphism quasi-split connected reductive group G defined over F which admits an isomorphism $\xi : G \to G'$ defined over F^{sep} and having the property that for any $\sigma \in \Gamma$ the automorphism $\xi^{-1}\sigma(\xi)$ of G is inner. Then $\sigma \mapsto \xi^{-1}\sigma(\xi)$ provides an element of $H^1(\Gamma, \text{Aut}(G))$ and this is the first piece of data that determines the isomorphism class of G'. The second piece of data is a linear algebraic object with Γ-action, called a root datum. The isomorphism classes of root data are in bijection with the isomorphism classes of quasi-split connected reductive groups defined over F, and thus the group G corresponds to a unique isomorphism class of root data.

This classification of connected reductive groups enters into the study of the Arthur-Selberg trace formula and its stabilization, the local theory of which is intimately related to the local Langlands correspondence. In this setting, F is a local field of characteristic zero and G' is a connected reductive group defined over F. The local Langlands correspondence is a conjectural relationship between the isomorphism classes of irreducible admissible representations of the topological group $G'(F)$ and objects closely related to representations of the Galois group Γ. More precisely, one defines a complex connected reductive group $\widehat{G}$ with Γ-action, and forms the L-group of G, which is the semi-direct product ${}^LG = \widehat{G} \rtimes W_F$ of $\widehat{G}$ with the Weil group W_F of F. A Langlands parameter is a continuous semi-simple homomorphism $\varphi : W_F \times \text{SU}_2 \to {}^LG$ which commutes with the projections of both sides to W_F. Such a homomorphism is called tempered if its image is bounded. Each tempered Langlands parameter is expected to correspond to a finite set of isomorphism classes of tempered irreducible admissible representations $\Pi_\varphi^{G'}$ of $G'(F)$, and these sets are expected to be disjoint and exhaust the isomorphism classes of tempered representations of $G'(F)$. The Galois cohomology sets enter when one tries to describe the internal structure of the sets $\Pi_\varphi^{G'}$, as well as certain character identities these sets satisfy. Namely, all groups G' that share the same quasi-split inner form G have the same L-group and hence the same set of Langlands parameters. A given Langlands parameter φ then provides a set $\Pi_\varphi^{G'}$ for each inner form G' of G and in order for these sets to interact well with the stabilized Arthur-Selberg trace formula, they must admit a parameterization in terms of the group ${}^LG$. The group ${}^LG$ encodes by its very definition the root datum of G. Thus one should at least be able to describe the set Π_φ^G for the quasi-split group G. Indeed, there is a very precise conjecture pertaining to that. First, Shahidi's tempered L-packet conjecture [Sh90, §9] states that for a fixed Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{w} = (B, \psi)$ of G the set Π_φ^G should contain a unique $\mathfrak{w}$-generic representation. Second, if we let S_φ denote the centralizer in $\widehat{G}$ of the image of φ, it is expected that there exists a bijection

$$\iota_{\mathfrak{w}} : \mathrm{Irr}(\pi_0(S_\varphi/Z(\widehat{G})^\Gamma)) \to \Pi_\varphi^G, \tag{1.1}$$

where the left hand side is the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of the finite group $\pi_0(S_\varphi/Z(\widehat{G})^\Gamma)$. This bijection however should not be arbitrary. It should send the trivial representation to the unique $\mathfrak{w}$-generic constituent of Π_φ^G and should moreover provide certain character identities that tie Π_φ^G with the stabilization of the trace formula. This conjecture has been established for $F = \mathbb{R}$ by Langlands and Shelstad [Lan73], [She79], [She81], [She82], [She08] and for a finite extension $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$ by Arthur [Art11] when G is quasi-split symplectic or special orthogonal group. Important for both the statement and the proof of the conjecture is the fact that the same datum that is used to fix the bijection (1.1), namely the Whittaker datum, can also provide a normalization of the endoscopic transfer factors.

The situation for groups G' which are not quasi-split is more subtle. To specify such a group, one needs in addition to the root datum that specifies its quasi-split inner form G also an element of the image of $H^1(\Gamma, \mathrm{Int}(G)) \to H^1(\Gamma, \mathrm{Aut}(G))$. It was shown by Kottwitz [Kot86] that the L-group ${}^LG$ encodes the set $H^1(\Gamma, \mathrm{Int}(G))$, in the sense that there is a canonical bijection from this set to the Pontryagin dual of the finite abelian group $Z(\widehat{G}_{\mathrm{sc}})^\Gamma$ – the Γ-fixed points of the center of the simply-connected cover of the derived subgroup of $\widehat{G}$. This result suggests that, before the set $\Pi_\varphi^{G'}$ can be parameterized in terms of ${}^LG$, it is necessary to equip the group G' with an additional datum – an element of $H^1(\Gamma, \mathrm{Int}(G))$ which maps to the element of $H^1(\Gamma, \mathrm{Aut}(G))$ determining G'. In this way, one arrives at the notion of an inner twist, which is a pair (G', ξ) with $\xi : G \to G'$ as above. This notion is a first refinement, or rigidification, of the notion of an inner form. The set of inner twists of G up to isomorphism is classified by $H^1(\Gamma, \mathrm{Int}(G))$ and Kottwitz's result describes this set as the abelian group dual to $Z(\widehat{G}_{\mathrm{sc}})^\Gamma$. One can now try to formulate a conjectural bijection similar to (1.1) in terms of a variant of S_φ involving $\widehat{G}_{\mathrm{sc}}$. However Vogan [Vog93] and Arthur [Art06] observe that such an attempt cannot be successful. Arthur's point of departure is the fact that on a non-quasi-split group G' the endoscopic transfer factors have no natural normalization and this makes it impossible to state the endoscopic character identities. Since those are intimately tied with the internal structure of L-packets, one also cannot hope to parameterize that structure. He suggests that to resolve this problem, one can conjecture the existence of two sets of functions – the spectral transfer factors $\Delta(\varphi, \pi)$ and the mediating functions $\rho(\Delta, \tilde{s})$. These functions take away the problem arising from the lack of a natural normalization of the endoscopic transfer factor by incorporating all possible normalizations. Shelstad [She08] has been able to show that such functions indeed exist when the ground field is $\mathbb{R}$. The existence of these functions for p-adic fields is currently not known.

Studying this problem from a different perspective, Vogan [Vog93] points out that the object (G', ξ) has too many automorphisms, and these automorphisms can permute $\Pi_\varphi^{G'}$ without being detected in any way by ${}^LG$. This behavior is not at all pathological and already occurs for groups as simple as $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. This indicates that the datum (G', ξ) is by itself not sufficient to specify a bijection as in (1.1), and that one needs to further enrich it by additional data. Vogan then goes on to propose one such enrichment. It consists of adding to (G', ξ) an element $z \in Z^1(\Gamma, G)$ with the property that $\xi^{-1}\sigma(\xi) = \mathrm{Ad}(z(\sigma))$. The triples (G', ξ, z) are called pure inner twists and their isomorphism classes are parameterized by the set $H^1(\Gamma, G)$, which according to Kottwitz's result is isomorphic to the Pontryagin dual of $\pi_0(Z(\widehat{G})^\Gamma)$. The work of Kottwitz, Vogan, and others suggests the following variant of (1.1): There should exist a finite set $\Pi_\varphi^{\text{pure}}$ of isomorphism classes of quadruples (G', ξ, z, π), where (G', ξ, z) is a pure inner twist and π is an irreducible tempered representation of $G'(F)$, together with a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathrm{Irr}(\pi_0(S_\varphi)) \arrow[r, "\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}"] \arrow[d] & \Pi_\varphi^{\text{pure}} \arrow[d] \\ X^*(\pi_0(Z(\widehat{G})^\Gamma)) \arrow[r] & H^1(\Gamma, G) \end{tikzcd} \tag{1.2}$$

in which the bottom arrow is Kottwitz's isomorphism, the left vertical arrow sends an irreducible representation to its central character, the right vertical arrow sends a quadruple (G', ξ, z, π) to the class of z, and the top arrow (the only conjectural arrow in the diagram) is a bijection sending the trivial representation to the quadruple $(G, \mathrm{id}, 1, \pi)$, where π is the unique $\mathfrak{w}$-generic constituent of Π_φ^G. The diagram (1.2) was constructed by DeBacker and Reeder [DR09] for any unramified p-adic group G and a class of supercuspidal Langlands parameter φ. It was then shown by the author [Kal11] that this construction satisfies the expected endoscopic character identities. Just as in the quasi-split case, it was important for both the statement and the proof of the conjecture that given a pure inner twist $(\xi, z) : G \to G'$, the data $\mathfrak{w}$ and z provide a natural normalization $\Delta[\mathfrak{w}, z]$ of the endoscopic transfer factors. The relationship of this point of view with that of Arthur is straightforward: The spectral transfer factor is given by the expression $\Delta(\varphi, \iota_{\mathfrak{w}}(\rho)) = \mathrm{tr}(\rho(s))$, where $s \in S_\varphi$ is part of the endoscopic datum to which $\Delta[\mathfrak{w}, z]$ is associated, and the function $\rho(\Delta, \tilde{s})$ is specified by $\rho(\Delta[\mathfrak{w}, z], \tilde{s}) = 1$.

While pure inner twists seem to provide a beautiful resolution to the problem, they have an essential drawback: The map $H^1(\Gamma, G) \to H^1(\Gamma, \mathrm{Int}(G))$ is not surjective, which means that not every inner twist $\xi : G \to G'$ can be equipped with an element z. The worst case scenario is when G is simply-connected. A theorem of Kneser states that then $H^1(\Gamma, G) = \{1\}$ and the diagram (1.2) collapses to the quasi-split case (1.1). One attempt to alleviate this problem can be made using Kottwitz's theory of isocrystals with additional structure [Kot85], [Kot97]. This theory provides a different cohomology set for G – if we let L be the completion of the maximal unramified extension of F and $\overline{L}$ an algebraic closure of L, Kottwitz studies the set $H^1(W_F, G(\overline{L}))$ and shows that the elements of a certain subset $B(G)_{\text{bas}}$ of this cohomology set provide inner forms of G and have an interpretation in terms of $\widehat{G}$ similar to that of $H^1(\Gamma, G)$. Using this theory, one can make a conjectural diagram similar to (1.2). It was shown by the author [Kalp1] that the theory of DeBacker and Reeder extends to this setting and that the endoscopic character identities hold. Furthermore, the same is true [Kalp2] for a different class of supercuspidal Langlands parameters, for which the corresponding L-packets consist of epipelagic representations [RY12]. The cohomology set $B(G)_{\text{bas}}$ has a map to $H^1(\Gamma, \mathrm{Int}(G))$ which is surjective when the center of G is connected. In this case, this set provides a complete resolution to the problem. The opposite case is that of a simply-connected group G, where again one has $B(G)_{\text{bas}} = \{1\}$. Nevertheless, there is a procedure that can replace any connected reductive group G by one with connected center and equal endoscopy, so in principle $B(G)_{\text{bas}}$ can be used for arbitrary reductive p-adic groups. The replacement procedure however comes with its own problems. For example, the replacement group is always ramified, and this makes its use in global applications problematic.

The purpose of the present paper is to provide a new cohomology set that alleviates the problems described above pertaining to the statement of the local Langlands correspondence and endoscopic character identities for p-adic groups. We believe that this set provides a solution to Problem 9.3 in [Vog93] in the p-adic case. To any algebraic group G defined over a p-adic field F, and any finite multiplicative central subgroup $Z \subset G$, we construct a cohomology set $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$. For applications to the local Langlands correspondence, G will be connected and reductive, and Z will be the center of the derived subgroup of G. The cohomology set $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ has the following properties: For any algebraic group G, it receives an injective map from $H^1(\Gamma, G)$ and it sends a surjective map to $H^1(\Gamma, G/Z)$. It follows from this that, when G is connected and reductive and we take Z to be the center of the derived subgroup of G, the induced map $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G) \to H^1(\Gamma, \text{Int}(G))$ is surjective, and thus every inner twist $\xi : G \to G'$ can be equipped with an element of $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$. When G is split, the surjective map $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G) \to H^1(\Gamma, \text{Int}(G))$ is in fact bijective, which means that for every inner twist $\xi : G \to G'$ there is a unique element of $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ belonging to that twist. For a general connected reductive G, the set $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ is finite and is in canonical bijection with a certain finite abelian group which is constructed from ${}^LG$. This analog of Kottwitz's result allows us to construct a normalization of the endoscopic transfer factor from an element of $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ and this in turn allows to state a version of (1.2) and of the endoscopic character identities for all inner forms of a given quasi-split connected reductive group G, thus in effect for any connected reductive p-adic group.

To elaborate on the last sentence, let G be a connected reductive group defined over F, and $Z \subset G$ a finite central multiplicative subgroup. Set $\bar{G} = G/Z$. The isogeny $G \to \bar{G}$ dualizes to an isogeny $\widehat{\bar{G}} \to \widehat{G}$ of the complex Langlands dual groups. We let $Z(\widehat{\bar{G}})^+$ be the preimage under this isogeny of the diagonalizable group $Z(\widehat{G})^\Gamma$. Then we show that the set $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ is in functorial bijection with the Pontryagin dual of $\pi_0(Z(\widehat{\bar{G}})^+)$ and that this bijection is compatible with Kottwitz's isomorphism. Now assume that Z is the center of the derived subgroup of G. A rigid inner twist of G is an inner twist $\xi : G \to G'$ equipped with an element $z \in \bar{Z}^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ whose class in $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ maps to that of ξ. Given a tempered Langlands parameter φ, we let S_φ^+ denote the preimage in $\widehat{\bar{G}}$ of S_φ. We then expect to have a finite set Π_φ of isomorphism classes of quadruples (G', ξ, z, π) and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\text{Irr}(\pi_0(S_\varphi^+)) \arrow[r, "\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}"] \arrow[d] & \Pi_\varphi \arrow[d] \\
X^*(\pi_0(Z(\widehat{\bar{G}})^+)) \arrow[r] & H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{1.3}$$

with the same properties as (1.2). In fact, each term in diagram (1.2) is a subset of the corresponding term here, and we expect that this diagram is an enlargement of (1.2) in the obvious sense. Furthermore, we show that the data $\mathfrak{w}$ and z provides a normalization $\Delta[\mathfrak{w}, z]$ for the endoscopic transfer factor and this allows us to state the conjectural endoscopic character identities. In order to state these identities, we must work with a slight refinement of the notion of endoscopic datum. This refinement resolves another problem observed by Arthur in [Art06] which pertains to the invariance of the transfer factor under automorphisms of endoscopic data. The relationship between our statement of the local Langlands conjecture and the endoscopic character identities and that of Arthur is again straightforward. The conjectural spectral transfer factor of Arthur is given by the expression $\Delta(\varphi, \iota_{\mathfrak{w}}(\rho)) = \mathrm{tr}(\rho(\tilde{s}))$, where $\tilde{s}$ is part of the enriched endoscopic datum to which $\Delta[\mathfrak{w}, z]$ is associated, and the function $\rho(\Delta, \tilde{s})$ is specified by $\rho(\Delta[\mathfrak{w}, z], \tilde{s}) = 1$.

Let us now give an informal description of the idea behind the set $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$. If Z is split and its exponent is equal to n, we consider an extension W of Γ by μ_n (the multiplicative group of n-th roots of unity in $\overline{F}$) belonging to a certain canonical class of extensions. The group W acts on $G(\overline{F})$ via its map to Γ and we define $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ to be the subset of $H^1(W, G(\overline{F}))$ represented by 1-cocycles $W \to G(\overline{F})$ whose restriction to μ_n is given by an algebraic homomorphism $\mu_n \to Z$. If Z is not split, a similar construction works with μ_n replaced by $\mathrm{Res}_{E_0/F}\mu_n$ where E_0/F is a finite Galois extension splitting Z. When one tries to make such a construction work, one is presented with the following problems: First, the object $\mathrm{Res}_{E_0/F}\mu_n$ is determined by the parameters E_0/F and n which depend on Z. Since we want the set $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ to be functorial in $Z \to G$, we must work with all possible extensions of Γ by groups of the form $\mathrm{Res}_{E_0/F}\mu_n$, rather than a single fixed extension. Second, such extensions have non-trivial automorphisms coming from the non-triviality of $H^1(\Gamma, \mathrm{Res}_{E_0/F}\mu_n)$. This means that one cannot simply work with isomorphism classes of extensions when defining $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$. Rather, one has to work with the whole category of these extensions.

We will now describe the contents of the paper and sketch the construction of the set $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ and its isomorphism with the dual of $\pi_0(Z(\widehat{\bar{G}})^+)$. The construction of $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ is the main topic of Section 4. Just as the usual cohomology set $H^1(\Gamma, G)$ can be identified with the colimit of the sets $H^1(\Gamma/\Delta, G^\Delta)$, where Δ runs over the Galois groups of fields contained in a fixed algebraic closure $\overline{F}$ and of finite degree over F, the set $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ is constructed as the colimit of sets $H^1(u_{\mathfrak{e}} \to W_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z \to G)$. Expressed more formally, we construct a functor from a certain small category $\mathcal{I}$ to the category of sets, which sends $\mathfrak{e} \in \mathcal{I}$ to $H^1(u_{\mathfrak{e}} \to W_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z \to G)$, and declare that $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ is the colimit of that functor. This functor is constructed as the composition of two functors. The first is a functor $\Phi : \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{E}$. The construction of this functor is the technical heart of the construction of $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$. It is given in Section 4.2. The category $\mathcal{I}$ has as objects tuples $\mathfrak{e} = (E/E_0/F, n, k, s)$, where $E/E_0/F$ is a tower of finite Galois extensions, n is a natural number which divides $[E : E_0]$, $k : \overline{F} \to \overline{F}$ is a function satisfying $k(x)^n = x$, and $s : \Gamma_{E/F} \to W_{E/F}$ is a section for the natural projection from the Weil group of the extension E/F to its Galois group. Given a second such object $\mathfrak{k} = (K/K_0/F, m, l, t)$, there is at most one arrow $\mathfrak{k} \to \mathfrak{e}$, and it exists if and only if $n|m$, $E_0 \subset K_0$ and $E \subset K$. The category $\mathcal{E}$ has as objects extensions of Γ by finite multiplicative algebraic groups defined over F. Given two such extensions with kernels u_1 and u_2, the set of morphisms from the first to the second is the set of u_2-conjugacy classes of morphisms of extensions which restrict to morphisms of algebraic groups $u_1 \to u_2$ and which induce the identity on Γ. The construction of the functor Φ is based on a device developed in Section 4.1, which we call an unbalanced cup-product.

The second functor goes from the category $\mathcal{E}$ to the category of sets. It's construction is inspired by Kottwitz's idea of *algebraic cocycles* [Kot97, §8]. Given an extension $1 \to u' \to W' \to \Gamma \to 1$, we define the set $H^1(u' \to W', Z \to G)$ to consist of all cohomology classes in $H^1(W', G(\overline{F}))$ which are represented by 1-cocycles whose restriction to u' is given by a homomorphism of algebraic groups $u' \to Z$. Here W' acts on $G(\overline{F})$ through its map to Γ. The set $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ is now defined as the colimit of the composition of these two functors. There is also a corresponding set $\bar{Z}^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ of "cocycles" whose classes are given by $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$. The usual cohomology set $H^1(\Gamma, G)$ has also the interpretation of cohomology classes represented by continuos 1-cocycles $\Gamma \to G(\overline{F})$. Our set $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ does not currently have an analogous interpretation. It is possible that such an interpretation exist. For example, one could try to prove that the functor Φ itself has a limit in the category $\mathcal{E}$ (after relaxing the finiteness assumption), and that algebraic cocycles of this extension of Γ with values in $G(\overline{F})$ realize the set $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$. We have not tried to prove this. Section 4.4 provides some basic properties of $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$, including its finiteness, the injection it receives from $H^1(\Gamma, G)$, the surjection it sends to $H^1(\Gamma, G/Z)$, and an inflation-restriction exact sequence. A further important property which $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ inherits from its construction is its functoriality in $Z \to G$.

Section 5 is concerned with the construction of the functorial isomorphism between $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ and the Pontryagin dual of $\pi_0(Z(\widehat{\bar{G}})^+)$ in the case where G is a connected reductive group defined over F. However, in that section we do not use the language of the dual group, but rather we construct a set $\bar{Y}_{+,\mathrm{tor}}(Z \to G)$ from the root datum of G which will later turn out to be functorially isomorphic to $\pi_0(Z(\widehat{\bar{G}})^+)$. This set is constructed in Section 5.1. The construction of the isomorphism $\bar{Y}_{+,\mathrm{tor}}(Z \to G) \cong H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ is the subject of Section 5.2. It is first constructed in the case that G is a torus, and for this we again employ the unbalanced cup-products of Section 4.1. Once the case of tori is done, we use the maximal tori of a connected reductive group G to obtain the isomorphism in that case. We also show various compatibilities of our isomorphism, in particular its functoriality in $Z \to G$ and its compatibility with the Kottwitz isomorphism.

Section 6 describes how the set $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ can be applied to the study of the local Langlands correspondence and endoscopy. We introduce the concept of rigid inner twists in Section 6.1 and show how for a given maximal torus $S \subset G$ in a connected reductive group, the set $H^1(u \to W, Z \to S)$ parameterized the rational classes inside the stable class of any given strongly-regular element of $S(F)$. This leads to the cohomological invariant $\mathrm{inv}(\delta, \delta')$ that will allow us to normalize the transfer factors later. The discussion in 6.1 following the ideas already used in [Kal11, §2.1]. In Section 6.2, we establish the functorial isomorphism between the set $\bar{Y}_{+,\mathrm{tor}}(Z \to G)$ defined in Section 5.1 and the Pontryagin dual of $\pi_0(Z(\widehat{\bar{G}})^+)$. This isomorphism can be phrased (Corollary 6.4) as a perfect pairing between $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ and $\pi_0(Z(\widehat{\bar{G}})^+)$, and besides $\mathrm{inv}(\delta, \delta')$ this pairing is the second ingredient in the normalization of the transfer factor. We then proceed to define the notions of a refined endoscopic datum and of an isomorphism between such data. This notion is the third ingredient in the normalization of the transfer factor, which we then are able to establish. Finally, in Section 6.3 we spell out the conjectural diagram (1.3) and the statements of the conjectural endoscopic character identities.

The author is grateful to Robert Kottwitz for introducing him to this problem and for sharing his intuition that algebraic cocycles of Galois gerbs could hold the key to its resolution. The support of the National Science Foundation via grant DMS-161489 is gratefully acknowledged.

CONTENTS

1 Introduction **1**

2 Some notation **7**

3 Recollections about the Tate-Nakayama isomorphism **8**

4 The functor $H^1(u \to W)$ **10**

4.1 Unbalanced cup-products 10

4.2 Definition of the functor $\Phi : \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{E}$ 11

4.3 Definition of $\bar{Z}^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ and $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ 21

4.4 Basic properties of $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ 22

5 The isomorphism $\bar{Y}_{+,\mathrm{tor}} \cong H^1(u \to W)$ **27**

5.1 Definition of $\bar{Y}_{+,\mathrm{tor}}$ 27

5.2 The isomorphism $\bar{Y}_{+,\mathrm{tor}} \to H^1(u \to W)$ 29

6 Applications to the local Langlands conjecture **35**

6.1 Rigid inner twists 35

6.2 Refined endoscopic data and transfer factors 37

6.3 Structure of L-packets and endoscopic transfer 44

2 SOME NOTATION

Let F be a local non-archimedean field of characteristic zero. Fix an algebraic closure $\overline{F}$ of F and write Γ or Γ_F for the Galois group of $\overline{F}/F$, and W_F for the Weil group of $\overline{F}/F$. For a fixed finite Galois extension E/F we will write $\Gamma_{E/F}$ and $W_{E/F}$ for the relative Galois and Weil groups. We will reserve the letter W for a different purpose. Given $\sigma \in \Gamma$ and $x \in \overline{F}$, we will denote the image of x under σ by σx.

Given a ring R, we will denote by $R[\Gamma_{E/F}]$ the group ring of $\Gamma_{E/F}$, i.e. the ring of formal sums of elements of $\Gamma_{E/F}$ with R-coefficients. For us R will be either

$\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. The element of $R[\Gamma_{E/F}]$ corresponding to $\sigma \in \Gamma$ will be denoted by $[\sigma]$.

If S is an algebraic torus, we will write $X^*(S)$ and $X_*(S)$ for its character and cocharacter modules. These will be written additively, and the canonical pairing between $\chi \in X^*(S)$ and $\lambda \in X_*(S)$ will be denoted by $\langle \chi, \lambda \rangle$. For $x \in \overline{F}$, we will sometimes write x^λ instead of $\lambda(x)$ for the image of x under the map $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \to S$.

If G is a connected reductive group, we will write G_{der} for its derived subgroup, and G_{sc} and G_{ad} for the simply-connected cover and the adjoint quotient of G_{der}. If $S \subset G$ is a maximal torus, we will write S_{der}, S_{sc} and S_{ad} for the corresponding maximal tori of G_{der}, G_{sc} and G_{ad} respectively. The subset of strongly regular semi-simple elements of G will be denoted by G_{sr}. When G is defined over F, we will write $G(R)$ for the set of points of G with values in an F-algebra R. The notation $g \in G$ will be shorthand for $g \in G(\overline{F})$. Two elements $g_1, g_2 \in G_{\text{sr}}(F)$ are called stably conjugate if they are conjugate under $G(\overline{F})$. All elements $h \in G(\overline{F})$ with $\text{Ad}(h)g_1 = g_2$ provide the same isomorphism from the centralizer of g_1 to the centralizer of g_2, and we will call this isomorphism ϕ_{g_1,g_2}.

We will write $\widehat{G}$ for the (connected) complex Langlands dual group of G and ${}^LG = \widehat{G} \rtimes W_F$ for the (Weil-form) of its L-group.

Given a finite group Δ and a Δ-module M, we will use besides the usual group cohomology $H^i(\Delta, M)$ also the modified, or Tate-cohomology, which we will denote by $H^i_{\text{Tate}}(\Delta, M)$.

3 RECOLLECTIONS ABOUT THE TATE-NAKAYAMA ISOMORPHISM

Fix a tower of finite Galois extensions $E/E_0/F$ and a natural number n which divides $[E : E_0]$. Let $S \to \bar{S}$ be an isogeny of tori defined over F and let Z be its kernel. We assume that S splits over E and that Z splits over E_0 and has exponent dividing n. We write $Y = X_*(S)$ and $\bar{Y} = X_*(\bar{S})$. The natural map $Y \to \bar{Y}$ is injective, and we identify Y with its image in $\bar{Y}$. The quotient $\bar{Y}/Y$ is a finite abelian group whose exponent divides n. We have a Γ-equivariant isomorphism

$$\bar{Y}/Y \to \text{Hom}(\mu_n, Z), \qquad \bar{\lambda} \mapsto (x \mapsto x^{n\bar{\lambda}}). \tag{3.1}$$

The Tate-Nakayama isomorphism [Tat66] provides a commutative diagram with exact rows as follows

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^1(\Gamma, S) \arrow[r] & H^1(\Gamma, \bar{S}) \arrow[r, "\delta"] & H^2(\Gamma, Z) \arrow[r] & H^2(\Gamma, S) \\
H^{-1}_{\text{Tate}}(\Gamma_{E/F}, Y) \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "a_S"] & H^{-1}_{\text{Tate}}(\Gamma_{E/F}, \bar{Y}) \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "a_{\bar{S}}"] & H^{-1}_{\text{Tate}}(\Gamma_{E/F}, \bar{Y}/Y) \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "a_Z"] & H^0_{\text{Tate}}(\Gamma_{E/F}, Y) \arrow[u, "b_S"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

The maps a_S and $a_{\bar{S}}$ are isomorphisms, while the map b_S is a monomorphism, being the composition of the isomorphism $H^0_{\text{Tate}}(\Gamma_{E/F}, Y) \to H^2(\Gamma_{E/F}, S(E))$ with the inflation map $H^2(\Gamma_{E/F}, S(E)) \to H^2(\Gamma, S)$, which is injective in this case. The four-lemma implies that the map a_Z is also a monomorphism. Counting the orders of its source and target we see that it must be an isomorphism:

The norm map $N_{E/F} : \bar{Y}/Y \to \bar{Y}/Y$ for the action of $\Gamma_{E/F}$ factors through $[\bar{Y}/Y]_\Gamma$ and the source of a_Z is the subgroup of $[\bar{Y}/Y]_\Gamma$ killed by $N_{E/F}$. However, we have $N_{E/F} = N_{E_0/F} \circ N_{E/E_0}$ and by assumption Γ_{E/E_0} acts trivially on $\bar{Y}/Y$, so N_{E/E_0} is equal to multiplication by $[E : E_0]$ on $\bar{Y}/Y$. Since $\bar{Y}/Y$ is finite abelian of exponent n, we see that N_{E/E_0} kills it, and conclude that $N_{E/F}$ kills all of $[\bar{Y}/Y]_\Gamma$. Thus the source of a_Z is all of $[\bar{Y}/Y]_\Gamma$. The target of a_Z is Pontryagin-dual to $H^0(\Gamma, X^*(Z))$ [Mi06, Cor 2.4], but $X^*(Z)$ is the Pontryagin-dual of $\bar{Y}/Y$.

We would like to make this diagram explicit, in particular the map a_Z. This will provide some motivation for the calculations in the next sections.

Let $c_{\sigma,\tau} \in Z^2(\Gamma_{E/F}, E^\times)$ represent the canonical class. Then, according to the formulas for the cup-product in dimensions $(2,-1)$ found in [AW67], for $\lambda \in Y$ belonging to the kernel of the norm, we have

$$a_S(\lambda)(\sigma) = [c \cup \lambda](\sigma) = \prod_{a \in \Gamma_{E/F}} (c_{\sigma,a})^{\sigma a \lambda}.$$

Of course, given $\bar{\lambda} \in \bar{Y}$ belonging to the kernel of the norm, the formula for $a_{\bar{S}}(\bar{\lambda})$ is the same.

Before addressing a_Z, let us compute $\delta a_{\bar{S}}(\bar{\lambda})(\sigma,\tau)$. For this, we need an element of $C^1(\Gamma, S)$ that lifts $a_{\bar{S}}(\bar{\lambda}) \in Z^1(\Gamma, \bar{S})$. If $k : \overline{F}^\times \to \overline{F}^\times$ is a section of the n-th power map (i.e. $k(x)^n = x$), then

$$\sigma \mapsto \prod_{a \in \Gamma_{E/F}} k(c_{\sigma,a})^{\sigma a n \bar{\lambda}} \tag{3.2}$$

is such an element, because $n\bar{\lambda} \in Y$. The coboundary of this element is equal to

$$\prod_{a \in \Gamma_{E/F}} k(c_{\sigma,a})^{\sigma a n \bar{\lambda}} \cdot \sigma[k(c_{\tau,a})^{\tau a n \bar{\lambda}}] \cdot k(c_{\sigma\tau,a})^{-\sigma\tau a n \bar{\lambda}}.$$

Substituting τa for a in the first factor and using the fact that $\bar{\lambda}$ is in the kernel of the norm, the above expression is equal to

$$\prod_{a \in \Gamma_{E/F}} [k(c_{\sigma,\tau a}) \cdot [\sigma k(c_{\tau,a})] \cdot k(c_{\sigma\tau,a})^{-1} \cdot k(c_{\sigma,\tau})^{-1}]^{\sigma\tau a n \bar{\lambda}} = \prod_{a \in \Gamma_{E/F}} [dkc(\sigma,\tau,a)]^{\sigma\tau a n \bar{\lambda}}.$$

Note that the 3-cocycle dkc takes values in $\mu_n(\overline{F})$ and thus the product belongs to $Z(\overline{F})$. This motivates the following formula for a_Z. For any $\bar{\lambda} \in \bar{Y}$ we put

$$a_Z(\bar{\lambda} + Y)(\sigma,\tau) = \prod_{a \in \Gamma_{E/F}} [dkc(\sigma,\tau,a)]^{\sigma\tau a n \bar{\lambda}}. \tag{3.3}$$

Provided we show that $a_Z(\bar{\lambda} + Y)$ is a cocycle, it will be clear that with this definition of a_Z the middle square in the above diagram commutes. To show that $a_Z(\bar{\lambda} + Y)$ is a cocycle, consider its image in $C^2(\Gamma, S)$. Reversing the above computation, we see that this image is equal to

$$\left\{ \prod_{a \in \Gamma_{E/F}} k(c_{\sigma,a})^{\sigma a n \bar{\lambda}} \cdot \sigma[k(c_{\tau,a})^{\tau a n \bar{\lambda}}] \cdot k(c_{\sigma\tau,a})^{-\sigma\tau a n \bar{\lambda}} \right\} \cdot \prod_{a \in \Gamma_{E/F}} kc(\sigma,\tau)^{-\sigma\tau a n \bar{\lambda}}.$$

The term in the curly brackets is a 2-coboundary, while the second product is equal to

$$kc(\sigma,\tau)^{-\sigma\tau n \sum_a a\bar{\lambda}}.$$

Recalling that the norm map $N_{E/F}$ kills the abelian group $\bar{Y}/Y$, we see that $\sum_a a\bar{\lambda}$ is already an element of Y, and hence we have the following equality in $S(\overline{F})$.

$$kc(\sigma,\tau)^{-\sigma\tau n\sum_a a\bar{\lambda}} = c(\sigma,\tau)^{-\sigma\tau\sum_a a\bar{\lambda}}.$$

The right hand side is equal to the cup-product of $c \in Z^2(\Gamma_{E,F},E^\times)$ with $-\sum_a a\bar{\lambda} \in Z^0(\Gamma,Y)$. This proves both that $a_Z(\bar{\lambda}+Y)$ is a 2-cocycle, and that the right square in the above diagram commutes, provided we take b_S to be the *negative* of the Tate-Nakayama isomorphism.

4 THE FUNCTOR $H^1(u \to W)$

4.1 Unbalanced cup-products

Formulas (3.2) and (3.3) are structurally very similar to the formulas for the cup product of an element in $C^2(\Gamma_{E/F},E^\times)$ or $C^3(\Gamma_{E/F},E^\times)$ with an element in $C^{-1}_{\text{Tate}}(\Gamma_{E/F},Y)$. The difference however is that the functions kc and dkc do not belong to $C^i(\Gamma_{E/F},E^\times)$ for $i=2$ or $i=3$, because they take values in a field larger than E. Rather, they are elements of $C^i(\Gamma_{K/F},K^\times)$ for some finite Galois extension K/F containing E, but with the additional property that their values remain unchanged if we modify their last (i.e. right-most) variable by an element of $\Gamma_{K/E}$. This special feature allows one to write the formulas (3.2) and (3.3). It will be useful to have a notation for this type of formula and to collect some observations.

Let $\Delta \to \Theta$ be a surjection of finite groups, let A be a Δ-module, and let B a Θ-module. Recall that for any integer i, the set of homogenous i-cochains $C^{i,\text{hom}}_{\text{Tate}}(\Theta,B)$ is defined as $\text{Hom}_\Theta(P^\Theta_i,B)$, where $(P^\Theta_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is the standard complete resolution of the trivial Θ-module $\mathbb{Z}$. Analogously, we have $C^{i,\text{hom}}_{\text{Tate}}(\Delta,A) = \text{Hom}_\Delta(P^\Delta_i,A)$. When $i \geq 0$ the set $C^{i,\text{hom}}_{\text{Tate}}(\Delta,A)$ can be identified with the set of Δ-equivariant functions from Δ^{i+1} to A, where Δ acts by diagonal left multiplication on Δ^{i+1}. We will also occasionally drop the subscript "Tate" in that situation.

Let $i > j > 0$. We will be interested in a subset

$$C^{i,j,\text{hom}}(\Delta,\Theta,A) \subset C^{i,\text{hom}}(\Delta,A)$$

defined as follows: An i-cochain of Δ with values in A belongs to this subset if and only if its values remain unchanged when we multiply any of its last j-many variables by an element in the kernel of $\Delta \to \Theta$. This is equivalent to saying that this i-cochain is the composition of a function $\Delta^{i+1-j} \times \Theta^j \to A$ with the natural projection $\Delta^{i+1} \to \Delta^{i+1-j} \times \Theta^j$. Notice that the differential $d : C^{i,\text{hom}}(\Delta,A) \to C^{i+1,\text{hom}}(\Delta,A)$ carries $C^{i,j,\text{hom}}(\Delta,\Theta,A)$ to $C^{i+1,j,\text{hom}}(\Delta,\Theta,A)$.

We can consider B as a Δ module as well and form the Δ-module $A \otimes B$. Let now $i > j' \geq j > 0$. Given two cochains $f \in C^{i,j',\text{hom}}(\Delta,\Theta,A)$ and $g \in C^{-j,\text{hom}}_{\text{Tate}}(\Theta,B)$, we define

$$f \sqcup g \in C^{i-j,j'-j,\text{hom}}_{\text{Tate}}(\Delta,\Theta,A\otimes B)$$

using the formula

$$(f \sqcup g)(g_0,\dots,g_{i-j}) = \sum_{(s_1,\dots,s_j)\in\Theta^j} f(g_0,\dots,g_{i-j},s_1,\dots,s_j) \otimes g(s_1^*,\dots,s_j^*),$$

The condition on f ensures that this formula makes sense. In the degenerate case $\Delta = \Theta$ this is just the formula for $f \cup g$ given in [AW67, §7]. We also define

$$(f \sqcup g)(g_0, \dots, g_i) = (f \cup g)(g_0, \dots, g_i) = f(g_0, \dots, g_i) \otimes g(g_i)$$

in the case $j = 0$. Then we observe that $\sqcup$ has the following feature in common with the usual cup-product.

Fact 4.1.

$$d(f \sqcup g) = df \sqcup g + (-1)^i f \sqcup dg.$$

The proof relies on the fact that for $i \geq 0$ the differential for homogenous i-cochains is given by a formula which is independent of the group, i.e. it is the same for both Δ and Θ – a fact that is not true for $i < 0$. Once this has been observed, the proof is straightforward and we shall leave it to the reader.

It will be more convenient to work with inhomogenous cochains in the subsequent sections. For $i > j' \geq j > 0$, the set of inhomogenous i-cochains $C^i(\Delta, A)$ is then just the set of functions $\Delta^i \to A$, and the subset $C^{i,j'}(\Delta, \Theta, A)$ is defined by the same condition as for the homogenous case. We will be particularly interested in the case $j = 1$. An inhomogenous (-1)-cochain with values in B is simply an element of B. Given $f \in C^{i,1}(\Delta, \Theta, A)$ and $\lambda \in B$. We have

$$(f \sqcup \lambda)(g_1, \dots, g_{i-1}) = \sum_{a \in \Theta} f(g_1, \dots, g_{i-1}, a) \otimes g_1 \dots g_{i-1} a \lambda.$$

In a situation where more than one pair of groups $\Delta \to \Theta$ is involved, we will write $f \underset{\Theta}{\sqcup} g$ to keep track of which group is being used. When Θ is the Galois group of some finite Galois extension E/F, we will also write $f \underset{E/F}{\sqcup} g$.

4.2 Definition of the functor $\Phi : \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{E}$

In this subsection we will construct a functor Φ which lies at the heart of the functor $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$. The source of Φ will be a small category, which we will call $\mathcal{I}$ (for index category), whose objects are quadruples $(E/E_0/F, n, k, s)$, where $E/E_0/F$ is a tower of finite Galois extensions, all contained in $\overline{F}$, n is a natural number dividing $[E : E_0]$, $k : \overline{F} \to \overline{F}$ is a section of the n-th power map (i.e. $k(x)^n = x$), and s is a section of the projection $W_{E/F} \to \Gamma_{E/F}$. If $(K/K_0/F, m, l, t)$ is another such quadruple, then the set of maps

$$\mathcal{I}\{(K/K_0/F, m, l, t), (E/E_0/F, n, k, s)\}$$

is either empty, or it consists of one element. It is non-empty if and only if $n|m$ and we have the inclusions

$$\begin{tikzcd} E_0 \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, hook] & E \arrow[d, hook] \\ K_0 \arrow[r, hook] & K \end{tikzcd}$$

The set of objects of $\mathcal{I}$ can be partitioned into a disjoint union of subsets, which we will call layers, each layer consisting of all quadruples for which the first two components (the tower of extensions and the natural number) are equal.

For a given $(E/E_0/F,n)$, the corresponding layer forms a full subcategory $\mathcal{I}_{E/E_0/F,n} \subset \mathcal{I}$. To give an object in this subcategory it is enough to specify the tuple (k,s). Between any two objects within a given layer there is a unique morphism.

The target of Φ will be the category $\mathcal{E}$ whose objects are extensions

$$1 \to u' \to W' \to \Gamma$$

of the absolute Galois group Γ of F by finite multiplicative algebraic groups u' defined over F. Thus, W' is a topological group, $W' \to \Gamma$ is a continuous map, $u' \to W'$ is a closed embedding whose image coincides with the kernel of $W' \to \Gamma$, and the induced map $W'/u' \to \Gamma$ is an isomorphism of topological groups. We will denote such an object by $u' \to W'$ for short. If $u'' \to W''$ is another such object, we define the set of morphisms

$$\mathcal{E}(u' \to W', u'' \to W'')$$

to be the set of u''-conjugacy classes of continuous group homomorphisms $W' \to W''$ which restrict to algebraic homomorphisms $u' \to u''$ and induce the identity on Γ.

We now begin with the construction of the functor $\Phi : \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{E}$. For an object $\mathfrak{e} = (E/E_0/F,n,k,s) \in \mathcal{I}$, we put $u_\mathfrak{e} = \mathrm{Res}_{E_0/F}\mu_n$ and $R_\mathfrak{e} = \mathrm{Res}_{E_0/F}\mathbb{G}_m$. Then $u_\mathfrak{e}$ is a finite multiplicative algebraic group defined over F and sits in the exact sequence

$$1 \to u_\mathfrak{e} \to R_\mathfrak{e} \to R_\mathfrak{e} \to 1,$$

where the map $R_\mathfrak{e} \to R_\mathfrak{e}$ raises each element to its n-th power. This is a convenient place to recall the Weil restriction of scalars and fix some more notation. The ring $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E_0/F}]$ has two structures of a Γ-module – one where Γ acts by multiplication on the left, and one where it acts by multiplying by inverses on the right. We identify $X^*(R_\mathfrak{e})$ with $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E_0/F}]$ using the first Γ-module structure, and we identify $X_*(R_\mathfrak{e})$ with $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E_0/F}]$ using the second. The canonical duality between $x \in X^*(R_\mathfrak{e})$ and $y \in X_*(R_\mathfrak{e})$ is then given by

$$\langle x,y\rangle = \delta_e(xy)$$

where xy is the product of x and y in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E_0/F}]$ and $\delta_e : \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E_0/F}] \to \mathbb{Z}$ sends the identity of $\Gamma_{E_0/F}$ to 1 and any other element of $\Gamma_{E_0/F}$ to 0. With these conventions we have $X^*(u_\mathfrak{e}) = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E_0/F}]$ – the group ring of $\Gamma_{E_0/F}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ with Γ acting by multiplication on the left – and consequently

$$R_\mathfrak{e}(K) = \mathrm{Hom}_\mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E_0/F}], \overline{F}^\times)^{\Gamma_K} = \mathrm{Maps}(\Gamma_{E_0/F}, \overline{F}^\times)^{\Gamma_K}.$$

$$u_\mathfrak{e}(K) = \mathrm{Hom}_\mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E_0/F}], \overline{F}^\times)^{\Gamma_K} = \mathrm{Maps}(\Gamma_{E_0/F}, \mu_n(\overline{F}))^{\Gamma_K}.$$

Here Maps denotes the set of set-theoretic maps, and the action of $\sigma \in \Gamma$ on an element f in the middle or right term in the above equations is given by $(\sigma f) = \sigma \circ f \circ \sigma^{-1}$.

Shapiro's lemma and the local Artin map provide a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} H^2(\Gamma, R_\mathfrak{e}) \arrow[r, "\cong"] & H^2(\Gamma_{E_0}, \mathbb{G}_m) \arrow[r, "\cong"] & \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \\ H^2(\Gamma, u_\mathfrak{e}) \arrow[r, "\cong"] \arrow[u, hook] & H^2(\Gamma_{E_0}, \mu_n) \arrow[r, "\cong"] \arrow[u, hook] & \frac{1}{n}/Z\mathbb{Z} \arrow[u, hook] \end{tikzcd} \tag{4.1}$$

We return to the construction of the functor Φ. This functor will send the quadruple $\mathfrak{e} = (E/E_0/F, n, k, s)$ to a certain extension of Γ by $u_\mathfrak{e}$. The isomorphism class of this extension will correspond to the element of $H^2(\Gamma, u_\mathfrak{e})$ that maps to $-1/n$ under the maps in the above diagram. However, having the isomorphism class of the extension will not be enough for our purposes. To construct the functor Φ, we will need the actual extension. It will be constructed as follows. Let $c_\mathfrak{e}(\sigma,\tau) = s_\sigma s_\tau s_{\sigma\tau}^{-1}$. Then $c_\mathfrak{e} \in Z^2(\Gamma_{E/F}, E^\times)$ represents the canonical class of the extension E/F and we have $dkc_\mathfrak{e} \in Z^{3,2}(\Gamma_{K/F}, \Gamma_{E/F}, \mu_n(K))$ for a suitable finite Galois extension K/F containing E. On the other hand, the isomorphism (3.1) provides a Γ-equivariant isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E_0/F}] \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mu_n, u_\mathfrak{e})$ and for $\sigma_0 \in \Gamma_{E_0/F}$ the image of $[\sigma_0]$ under this isomorphism maps $x \in \mu_n$ to the element of $u_\mathfrak{e}$ given by

$$\Gamma_{E_0/F} \to \mu_n, \qquad \tau_0 \mapsto \begin{cases} x, & \tau_0^{-1} = \sigma_0 \\ 1, & \text{else} \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} H^{-1}_{\text{Tate}}(\Gamma_{E/F}, \mathrm{Hom}(\mu_n, u_\mathfrak{e})) &= H^{-1}_{\text{Tate}}(\Gamma_{E/F}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E_0/F}]) \\ &= H_0(\Gamma_{E/F}, Z/n\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E_0/F}]) \\ &= \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $n|[E:E_0]$. Thus we see that $H^{-1}_{\text{Tate}}(\Gamma_{E/F}, \mathrm{Hom}(\mu_n, u_\mathfrak{e}))$ is cyclic with a canonical generator (corresponding to $1 \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$) given by the element $[1] \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E_0/F}]$ which sends $x \in \mu_n$ to the element of $u_\mathfrak{e}$ given by

$$\Gamma_{E_0/F} \to \mu_n, \qquad \tau_0 \mapsto \begin{cases} x, & \tau_0 = 1 \\ 1, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.2. *The 2-cochain*

$$\xi_\mathfrak{e} := dkc_\mathfrak{e} \underset{E/F}{\sqcup} [1] \in C^2(\Gamma, u_\mathfrak{e})$$

belongs to $Z^2(\Gamma, u_\mathfrak{e})$ *and its image in* $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ *under the maps in the diagram* (4.1) *is equal to* $-1/n$.

Proof. Fact 4.1 shows that $\xi_\mathfrak{e} \in Z^2(\Gamma, u_\mathfrak{e})$ as claimed. To compute its image in $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, we first map $\xi_\mathfrak{e}$ to $Z^2(\Gamma, R_\mathfrak{e})$. Its image there is equal to $dkc_\mathfrak{e} \sqcup [1]$, with $[1] \in \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E_0/F}] = X_*(R_\mathfrak{e}) = C^{-1}_{\text{Tate}}(\Gamma_{E/F}, X_*(R_\mathfrak{e}))$. Applying Fact 4.1 again we see that the class of $\xi_\mathfrak{e}$ in $H^2(\Gamma, R_\mathfrak{e})$ is equal to the class of $kc_\mathfrak{e} \sqcup -d[1]$. Now

$$d[1] = \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma_{E/F}} \sigma[1] = \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma_{E/F}} [\sigma^{-1}] = [E:E_0] \sum_{\sigma_0 \in \Gamma_{E_0/F}} [\sigma_0]$$

and hence

$$kc_\mathfrak{e} \sqcup -d[1] = c_\mathfrak{e} \cup \left(-\frac{[E:E_0]}{n} \sum_{\sigma_0 \in \Gamma_{E_0/F}} [\sigma_0] \right).$$

The right factor in this cup product is equal to the image of $-[E:E_0]/n \in \mathbb{Z} = X_*(\mathbb{G}_m)$ under the diagonal embedding $\Delta : \mathbb{G}_m \to R_\mathfrak{e}$, and thus $kc_\mathfrak{e} \sqcup -d[1]$ is equal to the image under $\Delta : H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{G}_m) \to H^2(\Gamma, R_\mathfrak{e})$ of the $(-[E:E_0]/n)$-th power of the canonical class. We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^2(\Gamma,\mathbb{G}_m) \arrow[r, "\Delta"] \arrow[d] & H^2(\Gamma,R_{\mathfrak{e}}) \arrow[r, "\cong"] & H^2(\Gamma_{E_0},\mathbb{G}_m) \arrow[d] \\
\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \arrow[rr, "{[E:E_0]}"] & & \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the isomorphism $\cong$ is given by Shapiro's lemma. The top horizontal map is equal to the restriction map, and the commutativity of the diagram follows from the compatibility of the local Artin map with restriction. $\square$

We now set

$$\Phi(\mathfrak{e}) := u_{\mathfrak{e}} \underset{\xi_{\mathfrak{e}}}{\boxtimes} \Gamma$$

to be the extension of Γ by $u_{\mathfrak{e}}$ specified by the 2-cocycle $\xi_{\mathfrak{e}}$. We have thus defined the functor Φ on the level of objects. In order to define it on the level of morphisms, we will first treat the special case where both objects of $\mathcal{I}$ belong to the same layer $\mathcal{I}_{E/E_0/F,n}$. Let $\mathfrak{e} = (k,s)$ and $\mathfrak{e}' = (k',s')$ be two such objects. We write $c_{\mathfrak{e}} = s_\sigma s_\tau s_{\sigma\tau}^{-1}$ and $c_{\mathfrak{e}'} = s'_\sigma s'_\tau s_{\sigma\tau}$, and let $\eta : \Gamma_{E/F} \to E^\times$ be such that $s' = \eta s$. Then $(k'c_{\mathfrak{e}'} \cdot kc_{\mathfrak{e}} \cdot dk\eta^{-1}) \in C^{2,1}(\Gamma_{K/F},\Gamma_{E/F},\mu_n(K))$ for some suitable finite Galois extension of E. We set

$$\alpha_{\mathfrak{e}',\mathfrak{e}} := (k'c_{\mathfrak{e}'} \cdot (kc_{\mathfrak{e}})^{-1} \cdot (dk\eta)^{-1}) \underset{E/F}{\sqcup} [1] \in C^1(\Gamma,u_{\mathfrak{e}}). \tag{4.3}$$

Explicitly, for $\sigma \in \Gamma$ and $a_0 \in \Gamma_{E_0/F}$, we have

$$\alpha_{\mathfrak{e}',\mathfrak{e}}(\sigma)(\alpha_0) = \prod_{\substack{a\in\Gamma_{E/F}\\ a\mapsto a_0}} [k'c_{\mathfrak{e}'}(\sigma,\sigma^{-1}a)\cdot kc_{\mathfrak{e}}(\sigma,\sigma^{-1}a)^{-1}\cdot k\eta(\sigma)^{-1}\cdot k\eta(\sigma^{-1}a)^{-1}\cdot k\eta(a)].$$

According to Fact 4.1 we have $d\alpha = dk'c_{\mathfrak{e}'} \cdot (dkc_{\mathfrak{e}})^{-1}$ and hence we obtain an isomorphism of extensions of Γ

$$u_{\mathfrak{e}'} \underset{\xi_{\mathfrak{e}'}}{\boxtimes} \Gamma \to u_{\mathfrak{e}} \underset{\xi_{\mathfrak{e}}}{\boxtimes} \Gamma, \qquad x \boxtimes \sigma \mapsto (x \cdot \alpha_{\mathfrak{e}',\mathfrak{e}}(\sigma)) \boxtimes \sigma.$$

We declare that the functor Φ sends the unique map from $\mathfrak{e}' \to \mathfrak{e}$ to this isomorphism. This completes the definition of the functor Φ on the layer $\mathcal{I}_{E/E_0/F,n} \subset \mathcal{I}$.

Lemma 4.3. *The Φ just defined is indeed a functor*

$$\mathcal{I}_{E/E_0/F,n} \to \mathcal{E}.$$

Proof. What we need to show is that Φ is compatible with composition of arrows. For this, consider three objects (k,s), (k',s') and (k'',s''). We let $\eta,\mu : \Gamma_{E/F} \to E^\times$ be such that $s' = \eta s$ and $s'' = \mu s'$. It will be enough to show that

$$(dk\eta \cdot dk'\mu \cdot d(k(\eta\cdot\mu))^{-1}) \underset{E/F}{\sqcup} [1] \quad \in \quad B^1(\Gamma,u_{\mathfrak{e}}).$$

Unravelling the formula, we see that the above cocycle sends $\sigma \in \Gamma$ to the element of $u_{\mathfrak{e}}$ given by

$$\Gamma_{E_0/F} \to \mu_n, \quad \tau_0 \mapsto \prod_{\tau\mapsto\tau_0} dk\eta(\sigma,\sigma^{-1}\tau) \cdot dk'\mu(\sigma,\sigma^{-1}\tau) \cdot d(k(\eta\cdot\mu))^{-1}(\sigma,\sigma^{-1}\tau),$$

where the product runs over those $\tau \in \Gamma_{E/F}$ that map to $\tau_0 \in \Gamma_{E_0/F}$. The contribution of each fixed τ to the product is given by

$$\frac{k[\eta(\sigma)] \cdot k'[\mu(\sigma)]}{k[\eta(\sigma) \cdot \mu(\sigma)]} \cdot \sigma \left\{ \frac{k[\eta(\sigma^{-1}\tau)] \cdot k'[\mu(\sigma^{-1}\tau)]}{k[\eta(\sigma^{-1}\tau) \cdot \mu(\sigma^{-1}\tau)]} \right\} \cdot \left\{ \frac{k[\eta(\tau)] \cdot k'[\mu(\tau)]}{k[\eta(\tau) \cdot \mu(\tau)]} \right\}^{-1} .$$

Each of the three terms above belongs to μ_n. The first of the three terms is independent of τ and using that $n|[E : E_0]$ we see that its total contribution to the product is trivial. The whole product is then seen to be equal to $\sigma\beta \cdot \beta^{-1}$, for $\beta \in u_{\mathfrak{e}}$ given by

$$\beta(\tau_0) = \prod_{\substack{\tau \in \Gamma_{E/F} \\ \tau \mapsto \tau_0}} \frac{k[\eta(\tau)] \cdot k'[\mu(\tau)]}{k[\eta(\tau) \cdot \mu(\tau)]}.$$

□

It remains to define the value of Φ at a morphism in $\mathcal{I}$ whose source and target lie in different layers. We will provide an explicit formula only for certain pairs of objects of $\mathcal{I}$. Consider two layers $\mathcal{I}_{E/E_0/F,n}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{K/K_0/F,m}$ and assume that there exist morphisms from objects of the second layer to objects of the first. Then we have an extension

$$1 \to \Gamma_{K/E} \to \Gamma_{K/F} \to \Gamma_{E/F} \to 1$$

Given a splitting $s_\Gamma : \Gamma_{E/F} \to \Gamma_{K/F}$ for this extension, a pair of objects $\mathfrak{e} = (E/E_0/F, n, k, s)$ and $\mathfrak{k} = (K/K_0/F, m, l, t)$ will be called s_Γ-nice, if the following conditions are satisfied:

- $l^{\frac{m}{n}} = k$.
- For all $y \in \Gamma_{K/E}$ we have $t(y) \in W_{K/E}$.
- For all $y \in \Gamma_{K/E}$ and $x \in \Gamma_{E/F}$, we have $t(ys_\Gamma(x)) = t(y)t(s_\Gamma(x))$.
- We have $s = \pi \circ t \circ s_\Gamma$, where $\pi : W_{K/F} \to W_{E/F}$ is the natural projection.

We can summarize the situation using the following diagram, whose rows and right column are exact.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & & 1 \arrow[d] & \\
1 \arrow[r] & K^\times \arrow[r, "\mathrm{rec}_K"] \arrow[d, equal] & W_{K/E} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Gamma_{K/E} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[l, bend right, "t"'] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & K^\times \arrow[r, "\mathrm{rec}_K"] \arrow[d, "N_{K/E}"] & W_{K/F} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\pi"] & \Gamma_{K/F} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[l, bend right, "t"'] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & E^\times \arrow[r, "\mathrm{rec}_E"] & W_{E/F} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Gamma_{E/F} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[l, bend right, "s"'] \arrow[u, bend right, "s_\Gamma"'] & 1 \\
 & & 1 & 1 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The definition of s_Γ-nice is motivated by the discussion found in [Lan83, VI.1]. This discussion also contains the proof of the following lemma, which we reproduce for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 4.4. *Put*

$$c_{\mathfrak{e}}(\sigma,\tau) = rec_E^{-1}(s_\sigma s_\tau s_{\sigma\tau}^{-1}) \text{ and } c_{\mathfrak{k}}(\sigma,\tau) = rec_K^{-1}(t_\sigma t_\tau t_{\sigma\tau}^{-1}),$$

so that $c_{\mathfrak{e}} \in Z^2(\Gamma_{E/F}, E^\times)$ *and* $c_{\mathfrak{k}} \in Z^2(\Gamma_{K/F}, K^\times)$ *represent the canonical classes of the extensions* E/F *and* K/F*. Then for any* $\sigma, \tau \in \Gamma_{K/F}$*, whose images in* $\Gamma_{E/F}$ *we also call* σ, τ*, we have*

$$c_{\mathfrak{e}}(\sigma,\tau) = \prod_{v\in\Gamma_{K/E}} c_{\mathfrak{k}}(v\sigma, s_\Gamma(\tau)) = \prod_{v\in\Gamma_{K/E}} c_{\mathfrak{k}}(\sigma, v\tau) c_{\mathfrak{k}}(\sigma, v)^{-1}.$$

Proof. One computes easily that the conditions imposed on s and t by s_Γ-niceness imply the following equations:

$$c_{\mathfrak{k}}(y, z s_\Gamma(x)) = c_{\mathfrak{k}}(y,z), \quad \forall x \in \Gamma_{E/F}, y, z \in \Gamma_{K/E}. \tag{4.4}$$

$$c_{\mathfrak{e}}(x,y) = N_{K/E}(c_{\mathfrak{k}}(s_\Gamma(x), s_\Gamma(y))) \cdot \mathrm{rec}_E^{-1}(\pi(t(c_\Gamma(x,y)))), \forall x, y \in \Gamma_{E/F}. \tag{4.5}$$

Here $c_\Gamma : \Gamma_{E/F}^2 \to \Gamma_{K/E}$ is defined by $c_\Gamma(x,y) = s_\Gamma(x)s_\Gamma(y)s_\Gamma(xy)^{-1}$ and the second factor in the right hand side of (4.5) is defined because the function $\pi \circ t$ maps $\Gamma_{K/E}$ into the image of rec_E. Given $z \in \Gamma_{K/E}$, we have

$$\mathrm{rec}_E^{-1}(\pi(t(z))) = \mathrm{rec}_K^{-1}(\mathrm{tr}(\pi(t(z)))),$$

where $\mathrm{tr} : W_E^{\mathrm{ab}} \to W_K^{\mathrm{ab}}$ is the transfer map. Because $W_E^{\mathrm{ab}} = W_{K/E}^{\mathrm{ab}}$, this transfer map is equal to the transfer map $\mathrm{tr} : W_{K/E}^{\mathrm{ab}} \to W_{K/K}^{\mathrm{ab}} = W_{K/K}$. In order to compute it, we need a section of the natural projection $W_{K/E} \to W_{K/E}/W_{K/K} = \Gamma_{K/E}$, and for this we can take t. Then we have

$$\mathrm{rec}_K^{-1}(\mathrm{tr}(\pi(t(z)))) = \prod_{v\in\Gamma_{K/E}} \mathrm{rec}_K^{-1}(t(v)t(z)t(vz)^{-1}) = \prod_{v\in\Gamma_{K/E}} c_{\mathfrak{k}}(v,z).$$

Plugging this into equation (4.5) we obtain

$$c_{\mathfrak{e}}(x,y) = \prod_{v\in\Gamma_{K/E}} v c_{\mathfrak{k}}(s_\Gamma(x), s_\Gamma(y)) \cdot c_{\mathfrak{k}}(v, c_\Gamma(x,y))$$

and according to equation (4.4) this is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{\mathfrak{e}}(x,y) &= \prod_{v\in\Gamma_{K/E}} v c_{\mathfrak{k}}(s_\Gamma(x), s_\Gamma(y)) \cdot c_{\mathfrak{k}}(v, c_\Gamma(x,y) s_\Gamma(xy)) \\
&= \prod_{v\in\Gamma_{K/E}} v c_{\mathfrak{k}}(s_\Gamma(x), s_\Gamma(y)) \cdot c_{\mathfrak{k}}(v, s_\Gamma(x) s_\Gamma(y)) \\
&= \prod_{v\in\Gamma_{K/E}} c_{\mathfrak{k}}(v s_\Gamma(x), s_\Gamma(y)) \cdot c_{\mathfrak{k}}(v, s_\Gamma(x)) \\
&= \prod_{v\in\Gamma_{K/E}} c_{\mathfrak{k}}(v s_\Gamma(x), s_\Gamma(y)).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the first of the two equations that are stated in the lemma. The second equation follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{\mathfrak{e}}(\sigma,\tau) &= \prod_{v\in\Gamma_{K/E}} c_{\mathfrak{k}}(\sigma v, s_\Gamma(\tau)) \\
&= \prod_{v\in\Gamma_{K/E}} \sigma c_{\mathfrak{k}}(v, s_\Gamma(\tau)) \cdot c_{\mathfrak{k}}(\sigma, v s_\Gamma(\tau)) \cdot c_{\mathfrak{k}}(\sigma, v)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

and the fact that $c_{\mathfrak{k}}(v, s_\Gamma(\tau)) = 1$ according to equation (4.4). □

We now return to the construction of the functor Φ. We have the layers $\mathcal{I}_{E/E_0/F,n}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{K/K_0/F,m}$ and are assuming that there are morphisms from objects in the second layer to objects from in the first. Fix a splitting s_Γ as above and a pair $\mathfrak{e} = (k, s) \in \mathcal{I}_{E/E_0/F,n}$ and $\mathfrak{k} = (l, t) \in \mathcal{I}_{K/K_0/F,m}$ of s_Γ-nice objects. The functor Φ maps the object $\mathfrak{e}$ to the extension $u_\mathfrak{e} \boxtimes_{\xi_\mathfrak{e}} \Gamma$, where $u_\mathfrak{e} = \mathrm{Res}_{E_0/F}\mu_n$, and $\xi_\mathfrak{e} \in Z^2(\Gamma, u_\mathfrak{e})$ is as in Lemma 4.2. Accordingly, it maps $\mathfrak{k}$ to the extension $u_\mathfrak{k} \boxtimes_{\xi_\mathfrak{k}} \Gamma$, where $u_\mathfrak{k} = \mathrm{Res}_{K_0/K}\mu_m$ and $\xi_\mathfrak{k} \in Z^2(\Gamma, u_\mathfrak{k})$.

According to Lemma 4.4, the formula

$$c_{\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{e}}(\sigma, \tau) = kc_\mathfrak{e}(\sigma, \tau)^{-1} \cdot \prod_{v \in \Gamma_{K/E}} \left[kc_\mathfrak{k}(\sigma, \tau v) \cdot kc_\mathfrak{k}(\sigma, v)^{-1}\right]$$

gives an element of $C^{1,1}(\Gamma_{K/F}, \Gamma_{E/F}, \mu_n)$, and we set

$$\alpha_{\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{e}} = c_{\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{e}} \underset{E/F}{\sqcup} [1] \in C^1(\Gamma, u_\mathfrak{e}), \tag{4.6}$$

where again we have used the element $[1] \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E_0/F}] \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mu_n, u_\mathfrak{e})$, as in the discussion prior to Lemma 4.2. Explicitly, we have for $\sigma \in \Gamma$ and $a_0 \in \Gamma_{E_0/F}$

$$\alpha_{\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{e}}(\sigma)(a_0) = \prod_{\substack{b \in \Gamma_{K/F} \\ b \mapsto a_0}} kc_\mathfrak{k}(\sigma, \sigma^{-1}b) \cdot \prod_{\substack{a \in \Gamma_{E/F} \\ a \mapsto a_0}} kc_\mathfrak{e}(\sigma, \sigma^{-1}a)^{-1} \cdot \prod_{v \in \Gamma_{K/E}} c_\mathfrak{k}(\sigma, v)^{-[E:E_0]/n}.$$

Now consider the map $p : R_\mathfrak{k} \to R_\mathfrak{e}$ defined by

$$p(x)(a_0) = \prod_{b_0 \mapsto a_0} a(b_0)^{\frac{m}{n}}, \tag{4.7}$$

where the product runs over $b_0 \in \Gamma_{K_0/F}$. It is Γ-equivariant and restricts to a map $p : u_\mathfrak{k} \to u_\mathfrak{e}$. Using the map p, we can give the following alternative expression of $\alpha_{\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{e}}$ as a product of elements of $C^1(\Gamma, R_\mathfrak{e})$:

$$\alpha_{\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{e}} = (kc_\mathfrak{e} \underset{E/F}{\sqcup} [1]_\mathfrak{e})^{-1} \cdot p(lc_\mathfrak{k} \underset{K/F}{\sqcup} [1]_\mathfrak{k}) \cdot (c' \underset{E/F}{\sqcup} [1]_\mathfrak{e})^{-1}, \tag{4.8}$$

where $[1]_\mathfrak{e} \in \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E_0/F}] = X_*(R_\mathfrak{e})$ and $[1]_\mathfrak{k} \in \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{K_0/F}]X_*(R_\mathfrak{k})$ correspond to $1 \in \Gamma$, and $c'(\sigma, \tau) = \prod_v kc_\mathfrak{k}(\sigma, v)$.

Lemma 4.5. *We have*

$$d\alpha_{\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{e}} = (p \circ \xi_\mathfrak{k}) \cdot \xi_\mathfrak{e}^{-1}.$$

Proof. We map $\alpha_{\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{e}}$ to $C^1(\Gamma, R_\mathfrak{e})$ and consider its product expression given by (4.8). The differential of the first term is

$$(dkc_\mathfrak{e} \underset{E/F}{\sqcup} [1]_\mathfrak{e})^{-1} \cdot (kc_\mathfrak{e} \underset{E/F}{\sqcup} d[1]_\mathfrak{e})^{-1}.$$

The first factor is by definition $\xi_\mathfrak{e}^{-1}$, and the second is seen as in the proof of Lemma 4.2 to be equal to the image of the $-[E : E_0]/n$-th power of $c_\mathfrak{e}$ under the diagonal embedding $\mathbb{G}_m \to R_\mathfrak{e}$.

The differential of the second term is equal to

$$p(dlc_\mathfrak{k} \underset{K/F}{\sqcup} [1]_\mathfrak{k}) \cdot p(lc_\mathfrak{k} \underset{K/F}{\sqcup} d[1]_\mathfrak{k}).$$

Again by definition the first factor is equal to $p \circ \xi_{\mathfrak{k}}$, while the second is equal to the image of the $[K : K_0]/m$-th power of $c_{\mathfrak{k}}$ under the map $\mathbb{G}_m \to R_{\mathfrak{k}} \xrightarrow{p} R_{\mathfrak{e}}$. The latter map is equal to the composition of the diagonal map $\mathbb{G}_m \to R_{\mathfrak{e}}$ with the $[K_0 : E_0]m/n$-th power map on $\mathbb{G}_m$, showing that the second factor is equal to the $[K : E_0]/n$-th power of $c_{\mathfrak{k}}$ embedded diagonally into $Z^2(\Gamma, R_{\mathfrak{e}})$.

For the third term we notice that since the value $c'(\sigma, \tau)$ is independent of τ, the cup-product of c' with $[1]_{\mathfrak{e}}$ is equal to the image of the $[E : E_0]$-th power of c' under the diagonal map $\mathbb{G}_m \to R_{\mathfrak{e}}$. After taking differentials and observing $n|[E : E_0]$, we see that the differential of the third term is equal to the image under the diagonal map of

$$\prod_v (c_{\mathfrak{k}}(\sigma, v) \cdot \sigma c_{\mathfrak{k}}(\tau, v) \cdot c_{\mathfrak{k}}(\sigma\tau, v)^{-1})^{-[E:E_0]/n}.$$

From this it follows that $d\alpha_{\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{e}} \cdot (p \circ \xi_{\mathfrak{k}}^{-1}) \cdot \xi_{\mathfrak{e}}$ is equal to the image in $Z^2(\Gamma, R_{\mathfrak{e}})$ under the diagonal map $Z^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{G}_m) \to Z^2(\Gamma, R_{\mathfrak{e}})$ of

$$c_{\mathfrak{e}}(\sigma, \tau)^{-[E:E_0]/n} \cdot c_{\mathfrak{k}}(\sigma, \tau)^{[K:E_0]/n} \cdot \prod_v (c_{\mathfrak{k}}(\sigma, v) \cdot \sigma c_{\mathfrak{k}}(\tau, v) \cdot c_{\mathfrak{k}}(\sigma\tau, v)^{-1})^{-[E:E_0]/n}.$$

According to Lemma 4.4, this expression is equal to 1. □

We now declare that the functor Φ sends the unique morphism $\mathfrak{k} \to \mathfrak{e}$ to the map

$$u_{\mathfrak{k}} \boxtimes_{\xi_{\mathfrak{k}}} \Gamma \to u_{\mathfrak{e}} \boxtimes_{\xi_{\mathfrak{e}}} \Gamma, \qquad x \boxtimes \sigma \mapsto p(x)\alpha_{\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{e}}(\sigma) \boxtimes \sigma. \tag{4.9}$$

According to Lemma 4.5, this is a homomorphism. This defines the functor Φ for morphisms between s_Γ-nice elements of $\mathcal{I}$. Before we can define the functor Φ in general, we need the following compatibility lemma.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $s_\Gamma, s'_\Gamma : \Gamma_{E/F} \to \Gamma_{K/F}$ be two sections. Let $\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{e}' \in \mathcal{I}_{E/E_0/F,n}$ and $\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}' \in \mathcal{I}_{K/K_0/F,m}$ be such that the pair $(\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{k})$ is s_Γ-nice, and the pair $(\mathfrak{e}', \mathfrak{k}')$ is s'_Γ-nice. Then the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Phi(\mathfrak{k}') \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Phi(\mathfrak{k}) \arrow[d] \\
\Phi(\mathfrak{e}') \arrow[r] & \Phi(\mathfrak{e})
\end{tikzcd}$$

of morphisms in $\mathcal{E}$ commutes.

Proof. We need to show that

$$\alpha_{\mathfrak{k}',\mathfrak{e}'} \cdot \alpha_{\mathfrak{e}',\mathfrak{e}} \cdot \alpha_{\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{e}}^{-1} \cdot p\alpha_{\mathfrak{k}',\mathfrak{k}}^{-1} \in B^1(\Gamma, u_{\mathfrak{e}}).$$

Let us write $\mathfrak{e} = (k, s)$, $\mathfrak{e}' = (k', s')$, $\mathfrak{k} = (t, l)$, $\mathfrak{k}' = (t', l')$. We let $\eta_E : \Gamma_{E/F} \to E^\times$ and $\eta_K : \Gamma_{K/F} \to K^\times$ and $\eta_\Gamma : \Gamma_{E/F} \to \Gamma_{K/E}$ be such that $t' = \eta_K \cdot t$, $s' = \eta_E \cdot s$, $s'_\Gamma = \eta_\Gamma \cdot s_\Gamma$. Recalling the defining equations (4.3), (4.6), and (4.7), we compute that for $\sigma \in \Gamma$ and $a_0 \in \Gamma_{E_0/F}$, the value of $[\alpha_{\mathfrak{k}',\mathfrak{e}'} \cdot \alpha_{\mathfrak{e}',\mathfrak{e}} \cdot \alpha_{\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{e}}^{-1} \cdot p\alpha_{\mathfrak{k}',\mathfrak{k}}^{-1}](\sigma)(a_0)$ is given by the product of the three terms

$$\prod_{b \mapsto a_0} [k\eta_K(\sigma) \cdot \sigma k\eta_K(\sigma^{-1}b) \cdot k\eta_K(b)^{-1}],$$

$$\prod_{a\mapsto a_0} [k\eta_E(\sigma)\cdot\sigma k\eta_E(\sigma^{-1}a)\cdot k\eta_E(a)^{-1}]^{-1},$$

$$\prod_v [c_{\mathfrak{k}}(\sigma,v)\cdot c_{\mathfrak{k}'}(\sigma,v)^{-1}]^{[E:E_0]/n},$$

where the products run over $b\in\Gamma_{K/F}$, $a\in\Gamma_{E/F}$, and $v\in\Gamma_{K/E}$.

Using $c_{\mathfrak{k}'}(\sigma,v)\cdot c_{\mathfrak{k}}(\sigma,v)^{-1}=\eta_K(\sigma)\cdot\sigma\eta_K(v)\cdot\eta_K(\sigma v)^{-1}$ and rearranging terms, we obtain

$$\frac{\prod\limits_{b\mapsto a_0}[\sigma k\eta_K(\sigma^{-1}b)\cdot k\eta_K(b)^{-1}]}{\prod\limits_{a\mapsto a_0}[\sigma k\eta_E(\sigma^{-1}a)\cdot k\eta_E(a)^{-1}]}\left[\frac{\prod\limits_v(\eta_K(\sigma v)\cdot\eta_K(v)^{-1})}{\eta_E(\sigma)}\right]^{[E:E_0]/n}.$$

To deal with this expression, we need the special properties that η_K and η_E have thanks to the fact that the pairs $(\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{e})$ and $(\mathfrak{k}',\mathfrak{e}')$ are nice. These special properties are given by the following equations, whose derivation we leave to the reader.

$$\eta_K(y\cdot s'_\Gamma(x))=\eta_K(y)\cdot y\eta_K s'_\Gamma(x)\cdot c_{\mathfrak{k}}(y,\eta_\Gamma(x)),\qquad\forall y\in\Gamma_{K/E},x\in\Gamma_{E/F}.$$

$$\eta_E(x)=\prod_{v\in\Gamma_{K/E}} v\eta_K s'_\Gamma(x)\cdot c_{\mathfrak{k}}(v,\eta_\Gamma(x)),\qquad\forall x\in\Gamma_{E/F}.$$

Using these properties, the above expression reduces to

$$\prod_{b\mapsto a_0}[\sigma k\eta_K(\sigma^{-1}b)\cdot k\eta_K(b)^{-1}]\cdot\prod_{a\mapsto a_0}[\sigma k\eta_E(\sigma^{-1}a)\cdot k\eta_E(a)^{-1}]^{-1}\cdot\prod_v(\eta_K(v)\cdot\sigma\eta_K(v)^{-1})^{[E:E_0]/n}.$$

This is the coboundary of the element of $R_{\mathfrak{e}}$ given by

$$a_0\mapsto\prod_{b\mapsto a_0}k\eta_K(b)\cdot\prod_{a\mapsto a_0}k\eta_E(a)^{-1}\cdot\prod_v\eta_K(v)^{-[E:E_0]/n}.$$

We will be done once we show that this element belongs to $u_{\mathfrak{e}}$. For this, we take it to the n-th power and using the properties of η_K and η_E we compute

$$\begin{aligned}&\prod_{b\mapsto a_0}\eta_K(b)\cdot\prod_{a\mapsto a_0}\eta_E(a)^{-1}\cdot\prod_v\eta_K(v)^{-[E:E_0]}\\=\;&\prod_{b\mapsto a_0}\eta_K(b)\cdot\prod_{a\mapsto a_0}\prod_v\left(v\eta_K s'_\Gamma(x)\cdot c_{\mathfrak{k}}(v,\eta_\Gamma(x))\cdot\eta_K(v)\right)^{-1}\\=\;&\prod_{b\mapsto a_0}\eta_K(b)\cdot\prod_{a\mapsto a_0}\prod_v\eta_K(vs'_\Gamma(a))^{-1}\\=\;&1.\end{aligned}$$

□

We can now complete the definition of the functor Φ. Given arbitrary elements $\mathfrak{k}\in\mathcal{I}_{K/K_0/F,m}$ and $\mathfrak{e}\in\mathcal{I}_{E/E_0/F,n}$ there is a unique map $\mathfrak{k}\to\mathfrak{e}$, and in order to define the image of this map under Φ, we choose a section $s_\Gamma:\Gamma_{E/F}\to\Gamma_{K/F}$ and a pair of s_Γ-nice elements $\mathfrak{k}'\in\mathcal{I}_{K/K_0/F,m}$ and $\mathfrak{e}'\in\mathcal{I}_{E/E_0/F,n}$ and declare that

$$\Phi(\mathfrak{k}\to\mathfrak{e})=\Phi(\mathfrak{k}'\to\mathfrak{k})\circ\Phi(\mathfrak{e}'\to\mathfrak{k}')\circ\Phi(\mathfrak{e}\to\mathfrak{e}').$$

According to Lemma 4.6, the right hand side is independent of the choices of s_Γ, $\mathfrak{e}'$ and $\mathfrak{k}'$.

Proposition 4.7. *The above construction provides a functor* $\Phi : \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{E}$.

Proof. We need to check that Φ is compatible with composition of morphisms. Lemma 4.3 and the construction of Φ guarantee that Φ respects the composition of two arrows as long as the three objects of $\mathcal{I}$ involved belong to at most two layers. It thus remains to check the case that all three objects belong to different layers. Moreover, it will be enough to check a single triple of objects for any given triple of layers. Thus let $\mathcal{I}_{E/E_0/F,n}$, $\mathcal{I}_{K/K_0/F,m}$, and $\mathcal{I}_{L/L_0/F,o}$ be three distinct layers, and assume that there are morphisms from objects of the third to objects of the second and from objects of the second to objects of the first.

We now fix splittings $s_\Gamma^E : \Gamma_{E/F} \to \Gamma_{K/F}$ and $s_\Gamma^K : \Gamma_{K/F} \to \Gamma_{L/F}$ with the properties

1. $s_\Gamma^K(y) \in \Gamma_{L/E}$ for all $y \in \Gamma_{K/E}$.
2. $s_\Gamma^K(y \cdot s_\Gamma^E(x)) = s_\Gamma^K(y) \cdot s_\Gamma^K(s_\Gamma^E(x))$ for all $y \in \Gamma_{K/E}$, $x \in \Gamma_{E/F}$.

Then for every element $\gamma \in \Gamma_{L/F}$ there are unique elements $z \in \Gamma_{L/K}$, $y \in \Gamma_{K/E}$, $x \in \Gamma_{E/F}$ such that

$$\gamma = z \cdot s_\Gamma^K(y) \cdot s_\Gamma^K(s_\Gamma^E(x)).$$

Choose a splitting $s_L : \Gamma_{L/F} \to W_{L/F}$ satisfying

$$s_L(z \cdot s_\Gamma^K(y) \cdot s_\Gamma^K(s_\Gamma^E(x))) = \underbrace{s_L(z)}_{\in W_{L/K}} \cdot \underbrace{s_L(s_\Gamma^K(y))}_{\in W_{L/E}} \cdot \underbrace{s_L(s_\Gamma^K(s_\Gamma^E(x)))}_{\in W_{L/F}}.$$

We then obtain splittings $s_K : \Gamma_{K/F} \to W_{K/F}$ and $s_E : \Gamma_{E/F} \to W_{E/F}$ by setting

$$s_K = \pi_K^L \circ s_L \circ s_\Gamma^K \qquad \text{and} \qquad s_E = \pi_E^L \circ s_L \circ s_\Gamma^K \circ s_\Gamma^E,$$

where $\pi_K^L : W_{L/F} \to W_{K/F}$ and $\pi_E^L : W_{L/F} \to W_{E/F}$ are the natural projections.

Finally, choose a section $l : \overline{F}^\times \to \overline{F}^\times$ of the o-power map. We now obtain the objects

$$\mathfrak{l} := (l, s_L) \in \mathcal{I}_{L/L_0/F,o} \quad \mathfrak{k} := (l^{\frac{o}{m}}, s_K) \in \mathcal{I}_{K/K_0/F,m} \quad \mathfrak{e} := (k^{\frac{o}{n}}, s_E) \in \mathcal{I}_{E/E_0/F,n}$$

and one can check that $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{k})$ is a s_Γ^K-nice pair, $(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{e})$ is a s_Γ^E-nice pair, and $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{e})$ is a s_Γ^K-nice pair.

The proof will be complete once we show that

$$p\alpha_{\mathfrak{l},\mathfrak{k}} + \alpha_{\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{e}} - \alpha_{\mathfrak{l},\mathfrak{e}} \in B^1(\Gamma, u_{\mathfrak{e}}),$$

where $p : u_{\mathfrak{k}} \to u_{\mathfrak{e}}$ is the map defined in equation (4.7). Recalling the explicit description of the α's involved, we see that for $\sigma \in \Gamma$ and $a_0 \in \Gamma_{E_0/F}$ the value of $(p\alpha_{\mathfrak{l},\mathfrak{k}} + \alpha_{\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{e}} - \alpha_{\mathfrak{l},\mathfrak{e}})(\sigma)(a_0)$ is equal to

$$\prod_{v \in \Gamma_{L/E}} c_{\mathfrak{l}}(\sigma, v)^{[E:E_0]/n} \cdot \prod_{v \in \Gamma_{K/E}} c_{\mathfrak{k}}(\sigma, v)^{-[E:E_0]/n} \cdot \prod_{v \in \Gamma_{L/K}} c_{\mathfrak{l}}(\sigma, v)^{-[K:E_0]/n}.$$

According to Lemma 4.4, the middle term is equal to

$$\prod_{v \in \Gamma_{K/E}} \prod_{w \in \Gamma_{L/K}} [c_{\mathfrak{l}}(\sigma, s_\Gamma^K(v)w) \cdot c_{\mathfrak{l}}(\sigma, w)^{-1}]^{-[E:E_0]/n}$$

and this shows that in fact the value of $(p\alpha_{\mathfrak{l},\mathfrak{k}} + \alpha_{\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{e}} - \alpha_{\mathfrak{l},\mathfrak{e}})(\sigma)(a_0)$ is equal to 1. ◻

4.3 Definition of $\bar{Z}^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ and $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$

Having constructed the functor $\Phi : \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{E}$ in the previous subsection, we are now in the position to construct the functors $\bar{Z}^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ and $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the category of monomorphisms $Z \to G$ defined over F, where G is an algebraic group, Z is a finite multiplicative group, and the morphism embeds Z into the center of G. For two such objects $Z_1 \to G_1$ and $Z_2 \to G_2$ we define the set of morphisms $\mathcal{A}(Z_1 \to G_1, Z_2 \to G_2)$ to be the set of commutative diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Z_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Z_2 \arrow[d] \\
G_1 \arrow[r] & G_2
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the horizontal maps are morphisms of algebraic groups defined over F. Since F has characteristic zero, such a diagram is determined by its bottom horizontal arrow. We are going to define two functors from the category $\mathcal{A}$ into the category of sets, and we will call them $\bar{Z}^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ and $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$.

If $u' \to W'$ is an object of $\mathcal{E}$, any Γ-module M (which we always assume to be discrete) is also a W'-module, and we denote the set of continuous 1-cocycles of W' with values in M by $Z^1(W', M)$. Given $[Z \to G] \in \mathcal{A}$, we define $Z^1(u' \to W', Z \to G)$ to be the subset of $Z^1(W', G)$ consisting of those elements ξ whose restriction to u' is equal to the composition of a morphism of algebraic groups $u' \to Z$ with the given monomorphism $Z \to G$. Since we have assume that F has characteristic zero, the morphism $u' \to Z$ is uniquely determined ξ. Inflation from Γ to W' gives

$$B^1(W', G) = B^1(\Gamma, G) \subset Z^1(\Gamma, G) \subset Z^1(u' \to W', Z \to G)$$

and we put

$$\bar{Z}^1(u' \to W', Z \to G) = Z^1(u' \to W', Z \to G)/B^1(W', Z).$$

$$H^1(u' \to W', Z \to G) = Z^1(u' \to W', Z \to G)/B^1(W', G).$$

We have

$$H^1(u' \to W', Z \to G) \subset H^1(W', G).$$

Given another object $u'' \to W''$ of $\mathcal{E}$, any group homomorphism $f : W'' \to W'$ which restricts to an algebraic homomorphism $u'' \to u'$ provides maps of sets

$$Z^1(u' \to W', Z \to G) \to Z^1(u'' \to W'', Z \to G),$$

$$\bar{Z}^1(u' \to W', Z \to G) \to \bar{Z}^1(u'' \to W'', Z \to G),$$

$$H^1(u' \to W', Z \to G) \to H^1(u'' \to W'', Z \to G).$$

If we conjugate f by an element of u', the first of the above three maps could change, but the other two remain the same. Thus, we obtain functors

$$\bar{Z}^1, H^1 : \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{A} \to \text{Sets}.$$

Composing these functors with $\Phi : \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{E}$ and taking the colimit over $\mathcal{I}$, we obtain functors

$$\mathcal{A} \to \text{Sets}$$

which we will call

$$\bar{Z}^1(u \to W) : [Z \to G] \mapsto \bar{Z}^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$$

and

$$H^1(u \to W) : [Z \to G] \mapsto H^1(u \to W, Z \to G).$$

It is clear that when G is abelian, the sets $\bar{Z}(u \to W, Z \to G)$ and $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ are both abelian groups. We will soon show that when G is reductive the $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ is in fact a finite abelian group.

Given an object $\mathfrak{e} \in \mathcal{I}$, write $[u_\mathfrak{e} \to W_\mathfrak{e}]$ for its image under Φ. Let $\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{k} \in \mathcal{I}$ be such that there exists an arrow $\mathfrak{k} \to \mathfrak{e}$. Given $[Z \to G] \in \mathcal{A}$, this arrow provides a map

$$\bar{Z}^1(u_\mathfrak{e} \to W_\mathfrak{e}, Z \to G) \to \bar{Z}^1(u_\mathfrak{k} \to W_\mathfrak{k}, Z \to G)$$

and one checks right away that if $z_\mathfrak{e}$ maps to $z_\mathfrak{k}$ under this arrow, then $w \mapsto g^{-1}z_\mathfrak{e}(w)w(g)$ mapsto to $w \mapsto g^{-1}z_\mathfrak{k}(w)w(g)$ for any $g \in G$. Here $w(g)$ denotes the action of $W_\mathfrak{e}$ or $W_\mathfrak{k}$ on G given through the quotient map to Γ. Thus, for $z \in \bar{Z}^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ and $g \in G$ it makes sense to talk about $g^{-1}z(w)w(g)$. Moreover, $z_1, z_2 \in \bar{Z}^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ satisfy $z_2 = g^{-1}z_1(w)w(g)$ if and only if their images in $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ are equal.

Remark: It is tempting to try to define $H^1(u \to W)$ by first taking the limit of the functor Φ, which would give an object $[u \to W] \in \mathcal{E}$ (where we must now allow $\mathcal{E}$ to contain extensions of Γ by pro-algabraic multiplicative groups), and then defining $H^1(u \to W)$ with respect to that object. The problem is that the category $\mathcal{E}$ is not complete – it already lacks equalizers – so the question of existence of such a limit is somewhat subtle. Assuming this were possible, a further temptation would be to replace $\mathcal{E}$ by the category $\mathcal{E}'$ of extensions $u \to W$ where a morphism $[u' \to W'] \to [u'' \to W'']$ is a group homomorphism $W' \to W''$ restricting to a homomorphism of algebraic groups $u' \to u''$ and inducing the identity on Γ, but where two such morphisms are not identified if they are conjugate under u''. Of course, $\Phi : \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{E}'$ would not respect composition. However, $\mathcal{E}'$ is a strict $(2,1)$-category, and one could try to upgrade Φ to a lax functor. This is possible when Φ is restricted to a single layer in $\mathcal{I}$, but it is not immediately obvious how to do it on all of $\mathcal{I}$. Since both of these question would be of esthetic, but not technical importance, I have not pursued them further.

4.4 Basic properties of $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$

In this subsection we will collect some basic properties of the cohomology groups $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$. We begin by making some simple observations that will be useful in the following. For any $[Z \to G] \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathfrak{e} \in \mathcal{I}$, the inflation-restriction sequence associated to the extension

$$1 \to u_\mathfrak{e} \to W_\mathfrak{e} \to \Gamma \to 1$$

and the Γ-module G provides an exact sequence

$$1 \to H^1(\Gamma, G) \to H^1(u_\mathfrak{e} \to W_\mathfrak{e}, Z \to G) \to \mathrm{Hom}(u_\mathfrak{e}, Z)^\Gamma \tag{4.10}$$

which can be continued by $H^2(\Gamma, G)$ is G is abelian. Here $\mathrm{Hom}(u_\mathfrak{e}, Z)$ denotes the set of homomorphisms of algebraic groups.

Now let $\mathfrak{k} \in \mathcal{I}$ be another object such that the arrow $\mathfrak{k} \to \mathfrak{e}$ exists, and let $\tilde{u}$ be the kernel of $p : u_{\mathfrak{k}} \to \mathfrak{e}$ (recall (4.7)). Then $\tilde{u}$ is also the kernel of the map $\Phi(\mathfrak{k} \to \mathfrak{e}) : W_{\mathfrak{k}} \to W_{\mathfrak{e}}$ and the inflation-restriction sequence associated to the extension

$$1 \to \tilde{u} \to W_{\mathfrak{k}} \to W_{\mathfrak{e}} \to 1$$

and the Γ-module G provides an exact sequence

$$1 \to H^1(u_{\mathfrak{e}} \to W_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z \to G) \to H^1(u_{\mathfrak{k}} \to W_{\mathfrak{k}}, Z \to G) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\tilde{u}, Z)^{\Gamma}. \quad (4.11)$$

Consider the directed system of objects $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E_0/F}]$, where n runs over the set of natural numbers and E_0/F over the set of finite Galois-extensions contained in $\overline{F}$, and maps

$$\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E_0/F}] \to \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{K_0/F}], \qquad [a_0] \mapsto \frac{m}{n} \sum_{b_0 \mapsto a_0} [b_0],$$

for any $n|m$ and $E_0 \subset K_0$. Call its limit M. Let u_∞ be the multiplicative pro-algebraic group with $X^*(u_\infty) = M$. One can think of u_∞ as the inverse limit of the system $u_{\mathfrak{e}}$ with transition maps given by $p : u_{\mathfrak{k}} \to u_{\mathfrak{e}}$ as defined in equation (4.7). If the limit of Φ existed, it would be an extension of Γ by u_∞.

Proposition 4.8. *Let $[Z \to G] \in \mathcal{A}$. For any $\mathfrak{e} = (E/E_0/F, n, k, s) \in \mathcal{I}$ such that Z splits over E_0 and the exponent of Z divides n, the natural maps*

$$Hom(u_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z)^{\Gamma} \to Hom(u_\infty, Z)^{\Gamma}$$

and

$$H^1(u_{\mathfrak{e}} \to W_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z \to G) \to H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$$

are bijections. In particular, the set $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ is finite.

Proof. It is clear that the set of $\mathfrak{e}$ satisfying the condition is a cofinal subcategory of $\mathcal{I}$. By this we mean that any object of $\mathcal{I}$ receives an arrow from an object in that subcategory. It will thus be enough to show that if $\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{k}$ are two such objects for which there exists a morphism $\mathfrak{k} \to \mathfrak{e}$, then the induced maps $\mathrm{Hom}(u_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z)^{\Gamma} \to \mathrm{Hom}(u_{\mathfrak{k}}, Z)^{\Gamma}$ and $H^1(u_{\mathfrak{e}} \to W_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z \to G) \to H^1(u_{\mathfrak{k}} \to W_{\mathfrak{k}}, Z \to G)$ are bijections.

The bijectivity of the first map is equivalent to the bijectivity of the map $\mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(X^*(Z), X^*(u_{\mathfrak{e}})) \to \mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(X^*(Z), X^*(u_{\mathfrak{k}}))$ given by composition with $X^*(p)$. This map fits into the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(X^*(Z), X^*(u_{\mathfrak{e}})) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(X^*(Z), X^*(u_{\mathfrak{k}})) \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(Z), \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(Z), \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical maps are the isomorphisms given by Frobenius reciprocity (here we are using that Z splits over E_0), and the bottom horizontal map is given by the natural map $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. By assumption, the bottom horizontal map is bijective.

For the bijectivity of $H^1(u_{\mathfrak{e}} \to W_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z \to G) \to H^1(u_{\mathfrak{k}} \to W_{\mathfrak{k}}, Z \to G)$, we note that the image of the last map in the inflation-restriction sequence (4.11) is contained in the image of the restriction map $\mathrm{Hom}(u_{\mathfrak{k}}, Z)^{\Gamma} \to \mathrm{Hom}(\tilde{u}, Z)^{\Gamma}$.

However, we have just shown that any element of $\mathrm{Hom}(u_{\mathfrak{k}}, Z)^{\Gamma}$ comes from $\mathrm{Hom}(u_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z)^{\Gamma}$ and thus maps trivially to $\mathrm{Hom}(\tilde{u}, Z)^{\Gamma}$.

□

Proposition 4.9. *Let $[Z \to G] \in \mathcal{A}$. Put $\bar{G} = G/Z$. Then we have the commutative diagram with exact rows and columns*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & H^1(\Gamma, Z) \arrow[r, "Inf"] \arrow[d] & H^1(u \to W, Z \to Z) \arrow[r, "Res"] \arrow[d] & Hom(u_\infty, Z)^\Gamma \arrow[d, equal] & \\
1 \arrow[r] & H^1(\Gamma, G) \arrow[r, "Inf"] \arrow[d, equal] & H^1(u \to W, Z \to G) \arrow[r, "Res"] \arrow[d, "a"] & Hom(u_\infty, Z)^\Gamma \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "b"] & * \arrow[d, equal] \\
 & H^1(\Gamma, G) \arrow[r] & H^1(\Gamma, \bar{G}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & H^2(\Gamma, Z) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & * \\
 & & 1 & 1 &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.12}$$

where $*$ is to be taken as $H^2(\Gamma, G)$ if G is abelian and disregarded otherwise.

Proof. Let us first discuss the middle row. For two objects $\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{k} \in \mathcal{I}$ satisfying the conditions of Proposition 4.8 and having an arrow $\mathfrak{k} \to \mathfrak{e}$, the inflation-restriction sequence (4.10) provides the rows of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & H^1(\Gamma, G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & H^1(u_{\mathfrak{e}} \to W_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z \to G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Hom}(u_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z)^\Gamma (\arrow[r] \arrow[d] & H^2(\Gamma, G)) \arrow[d, equal] \\
1 \arrow[r] & H^1(\Gamma, G) \arrow[r] & H^1(u_{\mathfrak{k}} \to W_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z \to G) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hom}(u_{\mathfrak{k}}, Z)^\Gamma (\arrow[r] & H^2(\Gamma, G))
\end{tikzcd}$$

We claim that this diagram commutes. The commutativity of the left and middle squares follow from the construction of the second vertical map, i.e. from the construction of the functor Φ. The right square, indicated in parenthesis, will only be considered in the case where G is abelian. In that case, the horizontal arrows can be taken to be the negatives of the transgression maps. We recall from [NSW, 1.6.5] that the image of $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}(u_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z)^{\Gamma}$ under the transgression map is represented by the differential of the 1-cochain

$$c : W_{\mathfrak{e}} \to G, \qquad c(x \boxtimes \sigma) = \phi(x).$$

This differential is equal to $\phi(\xi_{\mathfrak{e}})^{-1}$, and we thus see that the negative of the transgression map sends ϕ to $\phi(\xi_{\mathfrak{e}})$. Since the left vertical arrow in the right square is given by precomposition with p, the commutativity of that square follows from Lemmas 4.2 and 4.5, which assert that $p(\xi_{\mathfrak{k}})$ and $\xi_{\mathfrak{e}}$ are cohomologous. This establishes the commutativity of the diagram, and passing to the limit over all $\mathfrak{e}$ we obtain the middle row in the diagram of the proposition.

The argument for the top row is the similar but easier, so we omit it. The bottom row is the long exact sequence for Γ-cohomology of the short exact sequence $1 \to Z \to G \to \bar{G} \to 1$. The second column comes from the long exact sequence for $W_{\mathfrak{e}}$-cohomology associated to the same short exact sequence.

Consider now the third column. The map b comes from the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}(u_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z)^{\Gamma} \arrow[dd] \arrow[dr] & \\
& H^2(\Gamma, Z) \\
\mathrm{Hom}(u_{\mathfrak{k}}, Z)^{\Gamma} \arrow[ur] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the top diagonal arrow sends $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}(u_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z)^{\Gamma}$ to $\phi(\xi_{\mathfrak{e}})$, the bottom diagonal arrow sends $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}(u_{\mathfrak{k}}, Z)^{\Gamma}$ to $\phi(\xi_{\mathfrak{k}})$, and the vertical arrow is pre-composition with p (4.7). As we have just argued, the commutativity of this triangle follows from Lemmas 4.2 and 4.5. We now claim that the diagonal maps are surjective. By Poitou-Tate duality, the pairing

$$H^2(\Gamma, Z) \otimes H^0(\Gamma, X^*(Z)) \to H^2(\Gamma, \mu_n) \to \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}, \qquad (\xi, \chi) \mapsto -\mathrm{inv}_F(\chi \circ \xi)$$

is perfect, where n is any multiple of the exponent of Z. Pulling back this pairing under the map $\mathrm{Hom}(u_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z)^{\Gamma} \to H^2(\Gamma, Z)$ we obtain the obvious pairing

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}(u_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z)^{\Gamma} \otimes H^0(\Gamma, X^*(Z)) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & \mathrm{Hom}(u_{\mathfrak{e}}, \mathbb{G}_m)^{\Gamma} \arrow[d, "\cong"] & \\
\mathrm{Hom}(\mu_{n_{\mathfrak{e}}}, Z) \otimes H^0(\Gamma, X^*(Z)) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hom}(\mu_{n_{\mathfrak{e}}}, \mathbb{G}_m) \arrow[r, equal] & \frac{1}{n_{\mathfrak{e}}}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}
\end{tikzcd}$$

The right kernel of this pairing is trivial, and this implies the claimed surjectivity. This in turn implies the surjectivity of the map b.

Turning to the commutativity of the diagram in the statement of the proposition, we have already established the commutativity of all squares in it except for the bottom middle one. For that we need to argue that for any $\mathfrak{e} \in \mathcal{I}$ that satisfies the conditions of Proposition 4.8, the square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^1(u_{\mathfrak{e}} \to W_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z \to G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "a"] & \mathrm{Hom}(u_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z)^{\Gamma} \arrow[d] \\
H^1(\Gamma, \bar{G}) \arrow[r] & H^2(\Gamma, Z)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. Let $z \in Z^1(u_{\mathfrak{e}} \to W_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z \to G)$. To map z into $H^2(\Gamma, Z)$ by going first down via a and then across, we need to select an element of $C^1(\Gamma, G)$ which lifts $a(z)$ and take its differential. Now z is a map from $W_{\mathfrak{e}} = u_{\mathfrak{e}} \boxtimes_{\xi_{\mathfrak{e}}} \Gamma$ to G, and the desired element of $C^1(\Gamma, G)$ is given by $\sigma \mapsto z(1 \boxtimes \sigma)$. Moreover, using the fact that z is a cocycle we compute the differential of that cochain and see

$$\begin{aligned}
z(1 \boxtimes \sigma)^{\sigma} z(1 \boxtimes \tau) z(1 \boxtimes \sigma\tau)^{-1} &= z(1 \boxtimes \sigma \cdot 1 \boxtimes \tau) z(1 \boxtimes \sigma\tau)^{-1} \\
&= z(\xi_{\mathfrak{e}}(\sigma, \tau) \boxtimes \sigma\tau) z(1 \boxtimes \sigma\tau)^{-1} \\
&= z(\xi_{\mathfrak{e}}(\sigma, \tau)).
\end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof of the proposition, we need to establish the surjectivity of the map a. If G is abelian, this surjectivity follows from the already established surjectivity of b and the four-lemma. For a general G, let $R \subset G$ be a Levi subgroup [PR94, Thm 2.3], and let $S \subset R$ be an elliptic maximal torus [PR94, Thm 6.21]. We have $Z \subset S$ and $\bar{R} \subset \bar{G}$ is a Levi subgroup as well and $\bar{S} \subset \bar{R}$ is an elliptic maximal torus. Then we have the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^1(u \to W, Z \to S) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & H^1(u \to W, Z \to G) \arrow[d] \\
H^1(\Gamma, \bar{S}) \arrow[r] & H^1(\Gamma, \bar{G})
\end{tikzcd}$$

We already know that the left vertical map is surjective, and according to [PR94, Prop. 9.2] and [Kot86, 10.2], the bottom horizontal map is surjective. It follows that the right vertical map is also surjective.

□

Corollary 4.10. *Let $[Z \to G] \in \mathcal{A}$ and assume G is connected and reductive.*

1. *If G possesses anisotropic tori, then the map $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G) \to Hom(u_\infty, Z)^\Gamma$ in Proposition 4.9 is surjective.*
2. *If $S \subset G$ is an elliptic torus, then the map*

   $$H^1(u \to W, Z \to S) \to H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$$

   is surjective.

Proof. The first point follows from the fact that if S is an anisotropic torus, then $H^2(\Gamma, S)$ vanishes, implying the surjectivity of $H^1(u \to W, Z \to S) \to \mathrm{Hom}(u_\infty, Z)^\Gamma$, and this in turn implies the surjectivity of $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G) \to \mathrm{Hom}(u_\infty, Z)^\Gamma$.

The second point follows right away from the four-lemma applied to the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^1(u \to W, Z \to Z) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & H^1(u \to W, Z \to S) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & H^1(\Gamma, \bar{S}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 1 \\
H^1(u \to W, Z \to Z) \arrow[r] & H^1(u \to W, Z \to G) \arrow[r] & H^1(\Gamma, \bar{G}) \arrow[r] & 1
\end{tikzcd}$$

□

Corollary 4.11. *Let G be a connected reductive group defined over F, let Z be the center of G_{der}, and set $\bar{G} = G/Z$. Then both natural maps*

$$H^1(u \to W, Z \to G) \to H^1(\Gamma, \bar{G}) \to H^1(\Gamma, G_{ad})$$

are surjective. If G is furthermore split, then both maps are bijective.

Proof. The surjectivity of the first map is already stated in Proposition 4.9, while that of the second maps follows from the fact that $\bar{G}$ is the direct product $G_{\mathrm{ad}} \times Z(G)/Z$. Assume now that G is split. Then $Z(G)/Z$ is a split torus and has trivial cohomology, which accounts for the injectivity of the second map. Moreover, the map b in Diagram (4.12) is a bijection in this case – it is already surjective and one checks using Poutiou-Tate duality that the orders of its source and target coincide. This implies that the map Res in the first row of that diagram is trivial, and hence that Inf : $H^1(\Gamma, Z) \to H^1(u \to W, Z \to Z)$ is bijective. Thus the kernel of the composition

$$H^1(u \to W, Z \to G) \to H^1(\Gamma, \bar{G}) \to H^1(\Gamma, G_{\text{ad}})$$

coincides with the kernel of the map $H^1(\Gamma, G) \to H^1(\Gamma, G_{\text{ad}})$, which, according to Kottwitz's isomorphism [Kot86, Thm 1.2] is dual to the cokernel of the map $\widehat{Z}_{\text{sc}} \to \pi_0(\widehat{Z})$. Since $\widehat{Z}_{\text{der}}$ meets every connected component of $\widehat{Z}$, the latter map is surjective. It follows that the kernel of the composed map

$$H^1(u \to W, Z \to G) \to H^1(\Gamma, \bar{G}) \to H^1(\Gamma, G_{\text{ad}})$$

is trivial. At this point we don't yet have a group structure on the source of this map. However, using the proved surjectivity, we can now apply the usual twisting argument to conclude that all other fibers of this map are singletons as well, which proves injectivity. □

5 THE ISOMORPHISM $\bar{Y}_{+,\text{TOR}} \cong H^1(u \to W)$

5.1 Definition of $\bar{Y}_{+,\text{tor}}$

From now on we will be particularly interested in the subcategories $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{A}$, where $[Z \to G] \in \mathcal{A}$ belongs to $\mathcal{T}$ if G is a torus, and belongs to $\mathcal{R}$ if G is a connected reductive group. Let $[Z \to S] \in \mathcal{T}$. As before we write $\bar{S} = S/Z$. Let $X = X^*(S)$, $\bar{X} = X^*(\bar{S})$, $Y = X_*(S)$ and $\bar{Y} = X_*(\bar{S})$. We have the exact sequences

$$0 \to \bar{X} \to X \to X/\bar{X} \to 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad 0 \to Y \to \bar{Y} \to \bar{Y}/Y \to 0$$

and we will identify $\bar{X}$ with its image in X and Y with its image in $\bar{Y}$. The abelian group $\bar{Y}/Y$ is finite and the $\mathbb{Z}$-pairing between $\bar{Y}$ and $\bar{X}$ provides a $\mathbb{Q}$-pairing between $\bar{Y}$ and X which in turn provides a Γ-equivariant perfect pairing

$$\bar{Y}/Y \otimes X/\bar{X} \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

We will write Y^N and $\bar{Y}^N$ for the kernel of the norm map for the action of the Galois group $\Gamma_{E/F}$ for any finite Galois extension of E/F over which S splits. If $I \subset \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E/F}]$ is the augmentation ideal, we define $\bar{Y}_+(S) = \bar{Y}/IY$. The modules Y^N, $\bar{Y}^N$ and IY are independent of the choice of E, and we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to Y_\Gamma \to \bar{Y}_+ \to \bar{Y}/Y \to 0,$$

where $Y_\Gamma = Y/IY$ is the module of Γ-coinvariants in Y.

The following fact is easily observed.

Fact 5.1. *Assume that $E/E_0/F$ is a tower of Galois extensions such that S splits over E, Z splits over E_0, and the exponent of Z divides $[E : E_0]$. Then we have the exact sequence*

$$0 \to Y_\Gamma^N \to \bar{Y}_+^N \to \bar{Y}/Y \xrightarrow{N} Y^\Gamma/N(Y).$$

Note that the last map of this sequence, as well as its target, do depend on E. On the other hand, $\bar{Y}_+^N$ does not depend on E and in fact has the following alternative description, which one sees easily.

Fact 5.2. *For any field extension E/F splitting S, we have*

$$\bar{Y}_+^N = \bar{Y}_{+,tor}$$

Given a morphism $[Z_1 \to S_1] \to [Z_2 \to S_2]$ in $\mathcal{T}$, the induced morphism $\bar{S}_1 \to \bar{S}_2$ gives rise to a morphism $X_*(\bar{S}_1)_{+,\mathrm{tor}} \to X_*(\bar{S}_2)_{+,\mathrm{tor}}$. In other words, the assignment $[Z \to S] \mapsto \bar{Y}_{+,\mathrm{tor}}$ defines a functor $\bar{Y}_{+,\mathrm{tor}} : \mathcal{T} \to \mathrm{FinAbGrp}$.

We now want to extend this functor to $\mathcal{R}$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $[Z \to G] \in \mathcal{R}$, and let $S_1, S_2 \subset G$ be maximal tori. Any $g \in G(\overline{F})$ with $S_2 = Ad(g)S_1$ provides a Γ-equivariant isomorphism*

$$Ad(g) : \bar{Y}_1/(IY_1 + Q_1^\vee) \to \bar{Y}_2/(IY_2 + Q_2^\vee),$$

where $\bar{Y}_i = X_*(S_i/Z)$, $Y_i = X_*(S_i)$, and $Q_i^\vee = X_*(S_{i,sc})$. Moreover, this isomorphism is independent of the choice of g.

Proof. We will first argue that $\mathrm{Ad}(g)$ identifies $IY_1 + Q_1^\vee$ with $IY_2 + Q_2^\vee$. For this it is enough to show that $\mathrm{Ad}(g)IY_1 \subset IY_2 + Q_2^\vee$. Let $y_1 \in Y_1$, and consider $\sigma y_1 - y_1 \in IY_1$ for some $\sigma \in \Gamma$. If we let $w \in \Omega(S_2, G)$ be the image of $g\sigma(g^{-1}) \in N(S_2, G)$ and $y_2 = \mathrm{Ad}(g)y_1$, we get

$$\mathrm{Ad}(g)[\sigma y_1 - y_1] = w\sigma y_2 - y_2 = w\sigma y_2 - \sigma y_2 + \sigma y_2 - y_2.$$

Now $\sigma y_2 - y_2 \in IY_2$, and we claim that $w\sigma y_2 - \sigma y_2 \in Q_2^\vee$. Indeed, the isogeny $S_2 \to S_2/Z(G_{\mathrm{der}}) = S_{2,\mathrm{ad}} \times G/G_{\mathrm{der}}$ provides an injection with finite cokernel $Y_2 \to P_2^\vee \oplus X_*(G/G_{\mathrm{der}})$, where $P_2^\vee = X_*(S_{2,\mathrm{ad}})$. If we write $\sigma y_2 = p_2 + z$ accordingly, then we have

$$w\sigma y_2 - y_2 = (wp_2 - p_2) \in Q_2^\vee$$

as claimed [Bou, Ch VI, §1, no. 10, Prop 27]. This shows that $\mathrm{Ad}(g)$ indeed induces an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ad}(g) : \bar{Y}_1/(IY_1 + Q_1^\vee) \to \bar{Y}_2/(IY_2 + Q_2^\vee).$$

Next we show that it is Γ-equivariant. The isogeny $S/Z \to S/(Z \cdot Z(G_{\mathrm{der}})) = S_{\mathrm{ad}} \times G/(Z \cdot G_{\mathrm{der}})$ provides an injection $\bar{Y}_1 \to P_1^\vee \oplus X_*(G/Z \cdot G_{\mathrm{der}})$. Let $\bar{y}_1 \in \bar{Y}_1$ and decompose it as $\bar{y}_1 = p_1 + z$ with $p_1 \in P_1^\vee$ and $z \in X_*(G/Z \cdot G_{\mathrm{der}})$. Letting $p_2 = \mathrm{Ad}(g)p_1$ and $\bar{y}_2 = \mathrm{Ad}(g)\bar{y}_1 = p_2 + z$, we have for $\sigma \in \Gamma$

$$\mathrm{Ad}(g)\sigma\bar{y}_1 = w\sigma y_2 = (w\sigma p_2 - \sigma p_2) + \sigma\bar{y}_2 \in \sigma\bar{y}_2 + Q_2^\vee.$$

Finally, we need to show that any $g \in N(G, S_1)$ induces the trivial automorphism on $\bar{Y}_1/IY_1 + Q_1^\vee$. This however follows from the same argument, applied to the image $w \in \Omega(G, S_1)$ of g. $\square$

We are now ready to extend the functor

$$\mathcal{T} \to \mathrm{FinAbGrp}, \qquad [Z \to S] \mapsto \bar{Y}_{+,\mathrm{tor}}$$

to the category $\mathcal{R}$. For an object $[Z \to G]$, we define $\bar{Y}_{+,\mathrm{tor}}([Z \to G])$ to be the limit of the system $[X_*(S/Z)/(IX_*(S) + X_*(S_{\mathrm{sc}}))]_{\mathrm{tor}}$ over all maximal tori $S \subset G$, with transition maps given by Lemma 5.3. Given a morphism $f : [Z \to G] \to [C \to H]$ in $\mathcal{R}$, the map $f : G \to H$ lifts uniquely to a map $f_{\mathrm{sc}} : G_{\mathrm{sc}} \to H_{\mathrm{sc}}$. Choose maximal tori $S \subset G$ and $T \subset H$ such that $f(S) \subset T$. Restricting f to S we obtain a morphism $f : [Z \to S] \to [C \to T]$ in $\mathcal{T}$ and a compatible homomorphism $S_{\mathrm{sc}} \to T_{\mathrm{sc}}$, and hence a map

$$[X_*(S/Z)/(IX_*(S) + X_*(S_{\mathrm{sc}}))]_{\mathrm{tor}} \xrightarrow{f_{S,T}} [X_*(T/C)/(IX_*(T) + X_*(T_{\mathrm{sc}}))]_{\mathrm{tor}}. \quad (5.1)$$

If S' and T' are other choices of maximal tori of G and H with $f(S') \subset T'$, then there exist $g \in G$ and $h \in \mathrm{Cent}(f(S), H)$ such that $S' = \mathrm{Ad}(g)S$ and $T' = \mathrm{Ad}(hf(g))T$. The commutativity of

$$\begin{tikzcd} S \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Ad}(g)"] \arrow[d, "f"] & S' \arrow[d, "f"] \\ T \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Ad}(hf(g))"] & T' \end{tikzcd}$$

implies that the maps $f_{S,T}$ for all possible choices of S and T splice together to a map

$$\bar{Y}_{+,\mathrm{tor}}(f) : \bar{Y}_{+,\mathrm{tor}}(G) \to \bar{Y}_{+,\mathrm{tor}}(H).$$

This completes the definition of the functor

$$\bar{Y}_{+,\mathrm{tor}} : \mathcal{R} \to \mathrm{FinAbGrp}.$$

5.2 The isomorphism $\bar{Y}_{+,\mathrm{tor}} \to H^1(u \to W)$

We now have two functors $\mathcal{R} \to$ Sets: the functor $H^1(u \to W)$ defined in Section 4.3, and the composition of the functor $\bar{Y}_{+,\mathrm{tor}}$ with the forgetful functor FinAbGrp $\to$ Sets. The purpose of this section is to construct an isomorphism between these functors. As an immediate consequence, this will endow the set $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ with the structure of an abelian group. Moreover, this group structure will be compatible with the group structure on $H^1(\Gamma, G)$ obtained by Kottwitz [Kot86, Thm 1.2] and with the natural group structure on $\mathrm{Hom}(u_\infty, Z)$, and the maps in diagram (4.12) will all become group homomorphisms.

We begin by restricting both functors to $\mathcal{T}$. For $\mathfrak{e} = (E/E_0/F, n, k, s) \in \mathcal{I}$, denote by $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{e})$ the subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$ consisting of objects $[Z \to S]$ for which S splits over E, Z splits over E_0, and the exponent of Z divides n. For $[Z \to S] \in \mathcal{T}$, denote by $\mathcal{I}(Z \to S)$ the subcategory of $\mathcal{I}$ consisting of all $\mathfrak{e}$ such that $[Z \to S] \in \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{e})$. It is clear that $\mathcal{I}(Z \to S)$ is a cofinal subcategory of $\mathcal{I}$, i.e. every object of $\mathcal{I}$ receives an arrow from an object of $\mathcal{I}(Z \to S)$.

In order to construct an isomorphism $\bar{Y}_{+,\mathrm{tor}} \to H^1(u \to W)$ of functors $\mathcal{T} \to$ Sets, we will construct for a given object $[Z \to S] \in \mathcal{T}$ bijections $\iota_{[Z\to S],\mathfrak{e}} : \bar{Y}_{+,\mathrm{tor}}([Z \to S]) \to H^1(u_\mathfrak{e} \to W_\mathfrak{e}, Z \to S)$ for all $\mathfrak{e} \in \mathcal{I}(Z \to S)$. We must then show that these bijections are compatible with the transition isomorphisms associated to any arrow $\mathfrak{k} \to \mathfrak{e}$ in $\mathcal{I}(Z \to S)$, i.e. we must show that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^1(u_\mathfrak{e} \to W_\mathfrak{e}, Z \to S) \arrow[rr, "H^1(\Phi(\mathfrak{k}\to\mathfrak{e}))"] & & H^1(u_\mathfrak{k} \to W_\mathfrak{k}, Z \to S) \\
& \bar{Y}_{+,\mathrm{tor}}(Z \to S) \arrow[ul, "\iota_{[Z\to S],\mathfrak{e}}"] \arrow[ur, "\iota_{[Z\to S],\mathfrak{k}}"'] &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5.2}$$

commutes. Once this is done, the inverses of $\iota_{[Z\to S],\mathfrak{e}}$ will form a compatible system of arrows into $\bar{Y}_{+,\mathrm{tor}}(Z \to S)$ and thus splice to a map $H^1(u \to W, Z \to S) \to \bar{Y}_{+,\mathrm{tor}}(Z \to S)$. According to Proposition 4.8, this map will be a bijection.

To show that this bijection is functorial, we must prove that for another object $[C \to T] \in \mathcal{T}$ we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\bar{Y}_{+,\mathrm{tor}}([Z \to S]) \arrow[r, "\iota_{[Z\to S],\mathfrak{e}}"] \arrow[d] & H^1(u_\mathfrak{e} \to W_\mathfrak{e}, Z \to S) \arrow[d] \\
\bar{Y}_{+,\mathrm{tor}}([C \to T]) \arrow[r, "\iota_{[C\to T],\mathfrak{e}}"] & H^1(u_\mathfrak{e} \to W_\mathfrak{e}, C \to T)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5.3}$$

for all $\mathfrak{e} \in \mathcal{I}(Z \to S) \cap \mathcal{I}(C \to T)$.

We now begin to implement this outline. Let $\mathfrak{e} = (E/E_0/F, n, k, s) \in \mathcal{I}$, and let $[Z \to S] \in \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{e})$. We have the isomorphisms

$$\bar{Y}/Y \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mu_n, Z) \to \mathrm{Hom}(u_\mathfrak{e}, Z)^\Gamma,$$

the first one being (3.1) and sending $\bar{\lambda} + Y$ to $n\bar{\lambda}$, and the second coming from Frobenius reciprocity. Let us call the image of $\bar{\lambda} + Y$ under their composition $\phi_{\bar{\lambda},\mathfrak{e}}$. We also have the Γ-equivariant isomorphism (as discussed in Section 4.2, specifically equation (4.2))

$$\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E_0/F}] \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mu_n, u_\mathfrak{e}).$$

These two isomorphisms are related as follows.

Fact 5.4. *If $a_0 \in \Gamma_{E_0/F}$ and $[a_0]$ denotes the corresponding element of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{E_0/F}]$ and its image in Hom$(\mu_n, u_\mathfrak{e})$, then*

$$\phi_{\bar{\lambda},\mathfrak{e}} \circ [a_0] = a_0^{-1} n\bar{\lambda}$$

as elements of Hom(μ_n, Z).

Lemma 5.5. *For any $\bar{\lambda} \in \bar{Y}$ whose image in $\bar{Y}_+$ is torsion, the 1-cochain*

$$z_{\bar{\lambda},\mathfrak{e}} : W_\mathfrak{e} \to S, \qquad x \boxtimes \sigma \mapsto \phi_{\bar{\lambda},\mathfrak{e}}(x) \cdot (kc_\mathfrak{e} \sqcup n\bar{\lambda})(\sigma)$$

is an element of $Z^1(u_\mathfrak{e} \to W_\mathfrak{e}, Z \to S)$.

Proof. By definition $z_{\bar{\lambda},\mathfrak{e}}$ is a continuous 1-cochain $W_\mathfrak{e} \to S$ whose restriction to $u_\mathfrak{e}$ is algebraic and factors through Z. It remains to show that this 1-cochain is in fact a 1-cocycle. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
dz_{\bar{\lambda},\mathfrak{e}}(x \boxtimes \sigma, y \boxtimes \tau) &= \phi_{\bar{\lambda},\mathfrak{e}}(\xi_\mathfrak{e}(\sigma,\tau))^{-1} \cdot d(kc_\mathfrak{e} \sqcup n\bar{\lambda})(\sigma,\tau) \\
\text{(Fact 4.1)} &= \phi_{\bar{\lambda},\mathfrak{e}}(\xi_\mathfrak{e}(\sigma,\tau))^{-1} \cdot (dkc_\mathfrak{e} \sqcup n\bar{\lambda})(\sigma,\tau) \\
\text{(Fact 5.4)} &= \phi_{\bar{\lambda},\mathfrak{e}}(\xi_\mathfrak{e}(\sigma,\tau))^{-1} \cdot \phi_{\bar{\lambda},\mathfrak{e}}(dkc_\mathfrak{e} \sqcup [1]) \\
\text{(Lemma 4.2)} &= 1.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark: It would be useful to have an explicit formula for the value of $z_{\bar{\lambda},\mathfrak{e}}(x\boxtimes \sigma)$. We record it therefore here.

$$z_{\bar{\lambda},\mathfrak{e}}(x\boxtimes\sigma) := \prod_{a_0\in\Gamma_{E_0/F}} x(a_0)^{a_0 n\bar{\lambda}} \cdot \prod_{a\in\Gamma_{E/F}} kc_{\mathfrak{e}}(\sigma,a)^{\sigma a n\bar{\lambda}}$$

Lemma 5.6. *The assignment $\bar{\lambda}\mapsto z_{\bar{\lambda},\mathfrak{e}}$ provides a well-defined group homomomorphism*

$$\iota_{[Z\to S],\mathfrak{e}} : \bar{Y}_{+,tor}([Z\to S]) \to H^1(u_{\mathfrak{e}}\to W_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z\to S).$$

If $Z=1$, this homomorphism coincides with the Tate-Nakayama isomorphism. For any other $[C\to T]\in\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{e})$, the diagram (5.3) *commutes.*

Proof. Since both $\phi_{\bar{\lambda},\mathfrak{e}}$ and $(kc_{\mathfrak{e}}\sqcup n\bar{\lambda})$ are additive in $\bar{\lambda}$, Lemma 5.5 shows that the map $\bar{\lambda}\mapsto z_{\bar{\lambda},\mathfrak{e}}$ is a group homomorphism from the preimage of $\bar{Y}_{+,\mathrm{tor}}$ in $\bar{Y}$ to $Z^1(u_{\mathfrak{e}}\to W_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z\to S)$. To show that the map is well-defined, we must show that the image of IY under it belongs to $B^1(\Gamma,S)$. However, if $\bar{\lambda}\in Y$, then $(kc_{\mathfrak{e}}\sqcup n\bar{\lambda}) = (c_{\mathfrak{e}}\cup\bar{\lambda})$ and the claim follows.

If $Z=1$, then $\bar{Y}=Y$, and $\bar{Y}_{+,\mathrm{tor}} = Y_{\Gamma,\mathrm{tor}}$, and as above $(kc_{\mathfrak{e}}\sqcup n\bar{\lambda}) = (c_{\mathfrak{e}}\cup\bar{\lambda})$.

The commutativity of diagram (5.3) also follows by inspecting the definition of $z_{\bar{\lambda},\mathfrak{e}}$, because both $\phi_{\bar{\lambda},\mathfrak{e}}$ and $(kc_{\mathfrak{e}}\sqcup n\bar{\lambda})$ are functorial in $\bar{\lambda}$. □

Corollary 5.7. *The homomorphism $\iota_{[Z\to S],\mathfrak{e}}$ fits into the commutative diagrams*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^1(\Gamma,S) \arrow[r] & H^1(u_{\mathfrak{e}}\to W_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z\to S) \arrow[r] & \mathit{Hom}(u_{\mathfrak{e}},Z)^{\Gamma} \\
Y_{\Gamma,tor} \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & \bar{Y}_{+,tor} \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & \bar{Y}/Y \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

and

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^1(u_{\mathfrak{e}}\to W_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z\to S) \arrow[r] & H^1(\Gamma,\bar{S}) \\
\bar{Y}_{+,tor} \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & \bar{Y}_{\Gamma,tor} \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. The left square in the top diagram and the bottom diagram are special cases of Lemma 5.6, applied to the objects $[1\to S], [Z\to S], [1\to\bar{S}]\in\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{e})$. The right square in the top diagram follows from the definition of $z_{\bar{\lambda},\mathfrak{e}}$. □

Theorem 5.8. *The maps $\iota_{[Z\to S],\mathfrak{e}}$ splice to an isomorphism between the functors $\bar{Y}_{+,tor}$ and $H^1(u\to W)$ restricted to the category $\mathcal{T}$.*

Proof. Let us first show that for $[Z\to S]\in\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{e})$, the map $\iota_{[Z\to S],\mathfrak{e}}$ is an isomorphism of groups. We consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & H^1(\Gamma,S) \arrow[r] & H^1(u_{\mathfrak{e}}\to W_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z\to S) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hom}(u_{\mathfrak{e}},Z)^{\Gamma} \arrow[r] & H^2(\Gamma,S) \\
0 \arrow[r] & Y_{\Gamma,\mathrm{tor}} \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & \bar{Y}_{+,\mathrm{tor}} \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & \bar{Y}/Y \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & Y^{\Gamma}/N(Y) \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here the top exact sequence is (4.10), the bottom exact sequence comes from Fact 5.1, with N being the norm map for the action of $\Gamma_{E/F}$. The commutativity of the left and middle squares comes from Corollary 5.7. For the commutativity of the right square, we use Proposition 4.9, which gives us the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}(u_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z)^\Gamma \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & H^2(\Gamma, S) \\
H^2(\Gamma, Z) \arrow[ur] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

whose vertical map is given by $\phi \mapsto \phi(\xi_{\mathfrak{e}})$. Composing this map with $\bar{Y}/Y \to \mathrm{Hom}(u_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z)^\Gamma$ and recalling Fact 5.4, we obtain the map that sends $\bar{\lambda} \in \bar{Y}$ to

$$\phi_{\bar{\lambda},\mathfrak{e}}(\xi_{\mathfrak{e}}) = \phi_{\bar{\lambda},\mathfrak{e}}(dkc_{\mathfrak{e}} \sqcup [1]) = dkc_{\mathfrak{e}} \sqcup n\bar{\lambda} = a_Z(\bar{\lambda}),$$

where a_Z is the map defined in (3.3). The discussion of Section 3 now shows that the right square indeed commutes, because it is a piece of the diagram given by the Tate-Nakayama isomorphism. The five-lemma now implies that the map $\iota_{[Z\to S],\mathfrak{e}}$ is an isomorphism as claimed.

Next, we claim that diagram (5.2) commutes. By construction, $\Phi(\mathfrak{k} \to \mathfrak{e})$ is a composition of morphisms in $\mathcal{E}$ given by $\Phi(\mathfrak{e}' \to \mathfrak{e})$ for objects $\mathfrak{e}', \mathfrak{e} \in \mathcal{I}$ belonging to the same layer, as well as $\Phi(\mathfrak{k} \to \mathfrak{e})$ for objects $\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{e} \in \mathcal{I}$ which are nice, so it suffices to treat these two cases.

In the case that $\mathfrak{e}' = (E/E_0/F, n, k', s') \in \mathcal{I}$ belongs to the same layer as $\mathfrak{e}$, the morphism $\Phi(\mathfrak{e}' \to \mathfrak{e}) : u_{\mathfrak{e}'} \boxtimes \Gamma \to u_{\mathfrak{e}} \boxtimes \Gamma$ is given by $x \boxtimes \sigma \mapsto x\alpha_{\mathfrak{e}',\mathfrak{e}}(\sigma) \boxtimes \sigma$ with $\alpha_{\mathfrak{e}',\mathfrak{e}}(\sigma)$ defined in (4.3). According to Fact 5.4 and Fact 4.1, we have in $C^1(\Gamma, S)$ the equality

$$\phi_{\bar{\lambda},\mathfrak{e}} \circ \alpha_{\mathfrak{e}',\mathfrak{e}} = (k'c_{\mathfrak{e}'} \underset{E/F}{\sqcup} n\bar{\lambda}) \cdot (kc_{\mathfrak{e}} \underset{E/F}{\sqcup} n\bar{\lambda})^{-1} \cdot d(k\eta \underset{E/F}{\sqcup} n\bar{\lambda})^{-1}$$

and the commutativity of (5.2) follows in that case. Next consider an object $\mathfrak{k} = (K/K_0/F, m, l, t) \in \mathcal{I}$ and assume that $(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{e})$ is a pair of s_Γ-nice objects for some section $s_\Gamma : \Gamma_{E/F} \to \Gamma_{K/F}$. In that situation the morphism $\Phi(\mathfrak{k} \to \mathfrak{e}) : u_{\mathfrak{k}} \boxtimes \Gamma \to u_{\mathfrak{e}} \boxtimes \Gamma$ is given by $x \boxtimes \sigma \mapsto p(x) \cdot \alpha_{\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{e}}(\sigma) \boxtimes \sigma$ with p defined by (4.7) and $\alpha_{\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{e}}(\sigma)$ defined by (4.6). We have in $C^1(\Gamma, S)$ the equality

$$\phi_{\bar{\lambda},\mathfrak{e}} \circ \alpha_{\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{e}} = (c_{\mathfrak{k}} \underset{K/F}{\sqcup} n\bar{\lambda}) \cdot (c_{\mathfrak{e}} \underset{E/F}{\sqcup} n\bar{\lambda})^{-1}.$$

Here we have used that the $\sqcup_{E/F}$-product of the chain $(\sigma, \tau) \mapsto \prod_{v \in \Gamma_{K/E}} kc_{\mathfrak{k}}(\sigma, v)^{-1}$ with $n\bar{\lambda}$ is trivial, because $n\bar{\lambda}$ belongs to Y^N. Since $\phi_{\bar{\lambda},\mathfrak{e}}(p(x)) = \phi_{\bar{\lambda},\mathfrak{k}}(x)$, the commutativity of (5.2) follows in this case as well.

As discussed in the introduction to this subsection, the bijectivity of $\iota_{[Z\to S],\mathfrak{e}}$, the commutativity of (5.2), and the commutativity of (5.3) which was shown in Lemma 5.6 imply the statement of the theorem. □

We will now show that the functors $\bar{Y}_{+,\mathrm{tor}}$ and $H^1(u \to W)$ are isomorphic on all of $\mathcal{R}$. We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 5.9. *Let $[Z \to G] \in \mathcal{R}$ and let $S \subset G$ be a maximal torus. For $\mathfrak{e} \in \mathcal{I}(Z \to S)$, the fibers of the composition*

$$\bar{Y}_{+,tor}(Z \to S) \to H^1(u_{\mathfrak{e}} \to W_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z \to S) \to H^1(u_{\mathfrak{e}} \to W_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z \to G)$$

are torsors under the image of $X_*(S_{sc})_{\Gamma,tor}$.

Proof. The usual twisting argument reduces the question to studying the fiber of the given map over the trivial element. Any element of $H^1(u_{\mathfrak{e}} \to W_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z \to S)$ that maps to the trivial element of $H^1(u_{\mathfrak{e}} \to W_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z \to G)$ belongs to the image of the embedding $H^1(\Gamma, S) \to H^1(u_{\mathfrak{e}} \to W_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z \to S)$. Using Corollary 5.7, we are thus reduced to studying the fiber of the map

$$Y_{\Gamma,\mathrm{tor}} \to H^1(\Gamma, S) \to H^1(\Gamma, G)$$

over the trivial element. According to [Kot86, Thm 1.2], the map $H^1(\Gamma, S) \to H^1(\Gamma, G)$ is dual to the map

$$\pi_0(Z(\widehat{G})^\Gamma) \to \pi_0(\widehat{S}^\Gamma).$$

The cokernel of this map is equal to $\pi_0(\widehat{S}^\Gamma/Z(\widehat{G})^\Gamma)$ and this is a subgroup of $\pi_0([\widehat{S}/Z(\widehat{G})]^\Gamma)$. It follows that dually the map $H^1(\Gamma, S_{\mathrm{sc}}) \to H^1(\Gamma, S)$ surjects onto the kernel of $H^1(\Gamma, S) \to H^1(\Gamma, G)$. The lemma follows. ☐

Lemma 5.10. *Let* $[Z \to G] \in \mathcal{R}$ *and let* $S_1, S_2 \subset G$ *be maximal tori. Let* $\mathfrak{e} \in \mathcal{I}(Z \to S_1) \cap \mathcal{I}(Z \to S_2)$ *and* $g \in G(\overline{F})$ *with* $Ad(g)S_1 = S_2$*. If* $\bar{\lambda}_i \in \bar{Y}_i^N$ *are such that* $\bar{\lambda}_2 = Ad(g)\bar{\lambda}_1$*, then the images of* $\iota_{[Z\to S_1],\mathfrak{e}}(\bar{\lambda}_1)$ *and* $\iota_{[Z\to S_2],\mathfrak{e}}(\bar{\lambda}_2)$ *in* $H^1(u_{\mathfrak{e}} \to W_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z \to G)$ *are equal.*

Proof. Write $\mathfrak{e} = (E/E_0/F, n, k, s)$. Because for any $\mathfrak{k} \to \mathfrak{e}$ the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\bar{Y}_{+,\mathrm{tor}}(S_i) \arrow[r] \arrow[dr] & H^1(u_{\mathfrak{e}} \to W_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z \to S_i) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & H^1(u_{\mathfrak{e}} \to W_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z \to G) \arrow[d] \\
 & H^1(u_{\mathfrak{k}} \to W_{\mathfrak{k}}, Z \to S_i) \arrow[r] & H^1(u_{\mathfrak{k}} \to W_{\mathfrak{k}}, Z \to G)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes and the vertical maps are bijections (Proposition 4.8, Theorem 5.8), we may without loss assume that n is as large as we want. Consider the isogeny $S_i/Z \to S_i/(Z \cdot Z(G_{\mathrm{der}}))$. It provides an injection $\bar{Y}_i \to P_i^\vee \oplus X_*(G/Z \cdot G_{\mathrm{der}})$, where $P_i^\vee = X_*(S_{i,\mathrm{ad}})$. Write $\bar{\lambda}_1 = p_1 + z$ accordingly. Then $\bar{\lambda}_2 = p_2 + z$ with $p_2 = \mathrm{Ad}(g)p_1$. The map $Z(G)^\circ \to G/Z \cdot G_{\mathrm{der}}$ is an isogeny and leads to an injection $X_*(Z(G)^\circ) \to X_*(G/Z \cdot G_{\mathrm{der}})$ with finite cokernel. We choose n large enough so that $np_1 \in Q_1^\vee = X_*(S_{1,\mathrm{sc}})$ and $nz \in X_*(Z(G)^\circ)$. By construction (Lemma 5.5), we have

$$z_{\bar{\lambda}_i,\mathfrak{e}}(x \boxtimes \sigma) = \phi_{\bar{\lambda}_i,\mathfrak{e}}(x) \cdot [kc_{\mathfrak{e}} \sqcup np_i](\sigma) \cdot [kc_{\mathfrak{e}} \sqcup nz](\sigma).$$

We have

$$\phi_{\bar{\lambda}_1,\mathfrak{e}}(x) = \phi_{\bar{\lambda}_2,\mathfrak{e}}(x) \in Z \quad \text{and} \quad [kc_{\mathfrak{e}} \sqcup nz](\sigma) \in Z(G)^\circ.$$

On the other hand, $[kc_{\mathfrak{e}} \sqcup np_i](\sigma) \in G_{\mathrm{sc}}$ and it will be enough to find an element $h \in G_{\mathrm{sc}}$ such that

$$[kc_{\mathfrak{e}} \sqcup np_2](\sigma) = h^{-1} \cdot [kc_{\mathfrak{e}} \sqcup np_1](\sigma) \cdot \sigma(h).$$

To abbreviate, write $c_i = kc_{\mathfrak{e}} \sqcup np_i \in C^1(\Gamma, S_{i,\mathrm{sc}})$. The image of c_1 in $C^1(\Gamma, S_{1,\mathrm{ad}})$ is equal to $c_{\mathfrak{e}} \cup p_1$ and is thus a 1-cocycle, so we can twist the Γ-structure on G_{sc} using it. We call the twisted structure G_{sc}^1. We claim that

$$c_2 \cdot c_1^{-1} \in Z^1(\Gamma, G_{\mathrm{sc}}^1).$$

For this, we compute the coboundary at (σ, τ) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & [c_2(\sigma)c_1(\sigma)^{-1}] \cdot c_1(\sigma)\sigma[c_2(\tau)c_1(\tau)^{-1}]c_1(\sigma)^{-1} \cdot [c_2(\sigma\tau)c_1(\sigma\tau)^{-1}]^{-1} \\ = \quad & c_2(\sigma)\sigma c_2(\tau)[\sigma c_1(\tau)^{-1}c_1(\sigma)^{-1}c_1(\sigma\tau)]c_2(\sigma\tau)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

The three bracketed factors in the second line belong to $S_{1,\mathrm{sc}}$ and we can rearrange them, obtaining $dc_1(\sigma, \tau)^{-1}$. This is an element of $Z^2(\Gamma, Z(G_{\mathrm{sc}}))$ and can be pulled in front of the other terms, which themselves produce $dc_2(\sigma, \tau)$. However, by Fact 4.1 we have

$$dc_1 = dkc_{\mathfrak{e}} \sqcup np_1 = dkc_{\mathfrak{e}} \sqcup np_2 = dc_2,$$

because the images of p_1 and p_2 under the maps $P_i^\vee \to P_i^\vee/Q_i^\vee \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mu_n, Z)$ coincide. This proves the claim that $c_2 \cdot c_1^{-1} \in Z^1(\Gamma, G_{\mathrm{sc}}^1)$. According to Kneser's theorem, there exists $h \in G_{\mathrm{sc}}$ such that

$$c_2(\sigma)c_1(\sigma)^{-1} = h^{-1}c_1(\sigma)\sigma(h)c_1(\sigma)^{-1}$$

and the proof is complete. □

Theorem 5.11. *The functors $\bar{Y}_{+,tor}$ and $H^1(u \to W)$ are isomorphic.*

Before we begin the proof we need one bit of notation. Given a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module M of finite rank, a submodule $L \subset M$ will be called primitive if M/L is torsion-free. This is equivalent to saying that L is a direct summand. The primitive hull of a submodule $L \subset M$ is the (necessarily unique) submodule $L' \subset M$ which is primitive and contains L as a submodule of finite index. It exists.

Proof. Let $[Z \to G] \in \mathcal{R}$, let $S \subset G$ be an elliptic maximal torus, and let $\mathfrak{e} \in \mathcal{I}(Z \to S)$. Then according to Corollary 4.10 the map

$$\bar{Y}_{+,\mathrm{tor}}(Z \to S) \to H^1(u_{\mathfrak{e}} \to W_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z \to S) \to H^1(u_{\mathfrak{e}} \to W_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z \to G)$$

is surjective and according to Lemma 5.9 it descends to a bijection

$$\bar{Y}_{+,\mathrm{tor}}(Z \to S)/X_*(S_{\mathrm{sc}})_{\Gamma,\mathrm{tor}} \to H^1(u_{\mathfrak{e}} \to W_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z \to G).$$

We claim that

$$\bar{Y}_{+,\mathrm{tor}}(Z \to S)/X_*(S_{\mathrm{sc}})_{\Gamma,\mathrm{tor}} = [X_*(S/Z)/(IX_*(S) + X_*(S_{\mathrm{sc}}))]_{\mathrm{tor}}. \tag{5.4}$$

Indeed, already without the assumption that S is elliptic the natural map

$$X_*(S/Z)^N/(IX_*(S) + X_*(S_{\mathrm{sc}})^N) \to [X_*(S/Z)/(IX_*(S) + X_*(S_{\mathrm{sc}}))]$$

is injective and its image is finite, thus contained in the torsion submodule of its target. Here N is the norm map for the action of $\Gamma_{E/F}$. The preimage of the right-hand side of (5.4) in $X_*(S/Z)$ is the primitive hull of $IX_*(S) + X_*(S_{\mathrm{sc}})$. The assumption that S is elliptic ensures that $X_*(S_{\mathrm{sc}})$ is killed by N, and so $IX_*(S) + X_*(S_{\mathrm{sc}}) \subset X_*(S/Z)^N$. Since this is an inclusion with finite cokernel and $X_*(S/Z)^N$ is primitive, the equality in (5.4) follows. If S' is a second elliptic maximal torus of G and $g \in G(\overline{F})$ is such that $S' = \mathrm{Ad}(g)S$, then Lemmas 5.3 and 5.10 imply that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{[X_*(S/Z)/(IX_*(S) + X_*(S_{\mathrm{sc}}))]_{\mathrm{tor}}} \arrow[dd, "\mathrm{Ad}(g)"] \arrow[dr] & \\
& H^1(u_{\mathfrak{e}} \to W_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z \to G) \\
{[X_*(S'/Z)/(IX_*(S') + X_*(S'_{\mathrm{sc}}))]_{\mathrm{tor}}} \arrow[ur] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

By definition of $\bar{Y}_{+,\text{tor}}(Z \to G)$, the diagonal bijections in the above diagram splice to a bijection

$$\bar{Y}_{+,\text{tor}}(Z \to G) \to H^1(u_{\mathfrak{e}} \to W_{\mathfrak{e}}, Z \to G).$$

The commutativity of diagram (5.2) proved in Theorem 5.8 shows further that the system of these bijections indexed by $\mathfrak{e}$ splices to a map

$$\bar{Y}_{+,\text{tor}}(Z \to G) \to H^1(u \to W, Z \to G),$$

which according to Proposition 4.8 is itself bijective. The functoriality of this bijection follows from the commutativity of

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\bar{Y}_{+,\text{tor}}(Z \to S) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \bar{Y}_{+,\text{tor}}(Z \to G) \arrow[d] \\
H^1(u \to W, Z \to S) \arrow[r] & H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)
\end{tikzcd}$$

which holds by construction of the right vertical map, as well as the already established functoriality of the isomorphism between the functors $\bar{Y}_{+,\text{tor}}$ and $H^1(u \to W)$ upon restriction to $\mathcal{T}$. □

Corollary 5.12. *The isomorphism*

$$\bar{Y}_{+,tor} \to H^1(u \to W)$$

fits into the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^1(\Gamma, G) \arrow[r] & H^1(u \to W, Z \to G) \arrow[r] & Hom(u_\infty, Z)^\Gamma \\
Y_{+,tor}(1 \to G) \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & \bar{Y}_{+,tor}(Z \to G) \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & \bar{Y}/Y \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

and

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^1(u \to W, Z \to G) \arrow[r] & H^1(\Gamma, \bar{G}) \\
\bar{Y}_{+,tor}(Z \to G) \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & \bar{Y}_{+,tor}(1 \to \bar{G}) \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. This follows from Corollary 5.7. □

6 APPLICATIONS TO THE LOCAL LANGLANDS CONJECTURE

6.1 Rigid inner twists

Let G be a connected reductive group defined over F and let Z denote the center of G_{der}. Then $[Z \to G] \in \mathcal{R}$ and the sets $\bar{Z}^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ and $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ are defined. Set $\bar{G} = G/Z$. The natural projection $G \to \bar{G}$ induces maps $\bar{Z}^1(u \to W, Z \to G) \to Z^1(\Gamma, \bar{G}) \to Z^1(\Gamma, G_{\text{ad}})$ and $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G) \to H^1(\Gamma, \bar{G}) \to H^1(\Gamma, G_{\text{ad}})$.

Recall that an inner twist of G is an isomorphism $\xi : G \to G'$ defined over $\overline{F}$ between G and a connected reductive group G' defined over F such that for any $\sigma \in \Gamma$ the automorphism $\xi^{-1}\sigma(\xi)$ of G is inner. An isomorphism between inner twists $\xi_1 : G \to G_1$ and $\xi_2 : G \to G_2$ is an isomorphism $f : G_1 \to G_2$ defined over F and having the property that $\xi_2^{-1} \circ f \circ \xi_1$ is an inner automorphism of G. The set of isomorphism classes of inner twists is in bijection with $H^1(\Gamma, G_{\text{ad}})$.

By a *rigid inner twist* $(\xi, z) : G \to G'$ we will mean an inner twist $\xi : G \to G'$ and an element $z \in \bar{Z}^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ having the property that for all $\sigma \in \Gamma$ we have

$$\xi^{-1}\sigma(\xi) = \text{Ad}(\bar{z}(\sigma)),$$

where $\bar{z} \in Z^1(\Gamma, G_{\text{ad}})$ is the image of z. An isomorphism between the rigid inner twists $(\xi_1, z_1) : G \to G_1$ and $(\xi_2, z_2) : G \to G_2$ is a pair (g, f), where $g \in G(\overline{F})$ satisfies $z_2(w) = gz_1(w)w(g^{-1})$ and $f : G_1 \to G_2$ is an isomorphism defined over F fitting into the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} G \arrow[r, "\xi_1"] \arrow[d, "\text{Ad}(g)"'] & G_1 \arrow[d, "f"] \\ G \arrow[r, "\xi_2"] & G_2 \end{tikzcd}$$

The set of isomorphism classes of rigid inner twists is in bijection with $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$. We have the following trivial but important fact.

Fact 6.1. *Every automorphism of a rigid inner twist $(\xi, z) : G \to G'$ is given by an inner automorphism by an element of $G'(F)$.*

According to Corollary 4.11, for every inner twist $\xi : G \to G'$ there exists $z \in \bar{Z}^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ such that (ξ, z) is a rigid inner twists. If G is split then the map $(\xi, z) \mapsto \xi$ sets up a 1-1 correspondence between the set of isomorphism classes of rigid inner twists and the set of isomorphism classes of (ordinary) inner twists. If G is not split, than finitely many isomorphism classes of rigid inner twists map to the same isomorphism class of a given (ordinary) inner twist.

A rigid inner twist (ξ, z) is *pure* if z is inflated from $Z^1(\Gamma, G)$. The pure rigid inner twists correspond exactly to the pure inner twists of [Kal11, §2].

Now let $(\xi, z) : G \to G'$ be a rigid inner twist. Let $\delta \in G_{\text{sr}}(F)$ and $\delta' \in G'_{\text{sr}}(F)$ be such that $\xi(\delta)$ and δ' are $G'(\overline{F})$ conjugate, and let S and S' be their centralizers. We will say that δ and δ' are stably-conjugate. Choose $g \in G$ such that $\xi(g\delta g^{-1}) = \delta'$. One checks that

$$[w \mapsto g^{-1}z(w)w(g)] \in \bar{Z}^1(u \to W, Z \to S),$$

and that the class of this element in $H^1(u \to W, Z \to S)$ is independent of the choice of g. We call this element $\text{inv}(\delta, \delta')$. The usual argument (e.g. [Kal11, Lemma 2.1.5]) shows that for a fixed $\delta \in G_{\text{sr}}(F)$ the map

$$\delta' \mapsto \text{inv}(\delta, \delta')$$

sets up a bijection between the set of $G'(F)$-conjugacy classes of elements $\delta' \in G'(F)$ which are stably-conjugate to δ and the fiber of $H^1(u \to W, Z \to S) \to H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ over the class of z.

One also checks easily the following fact.

Fact 6.2. *Let (g,f) be an isomorphism from $(\xi_1,z_1):G\to G_1$ to $(\xi_2,z_2):G\to G_2$. Let $\delta\in G_{sr}(F)$ and $\delta_1\in G_{1,sr}(F)$ be stably-conjugate. Then*

$$inv(\delta,\delta_1)=inv(\delta,f(\delta_1)).$$

6.2 Refined endoscopic data and transfer factors

Let $[Z\to G]\in\mathcal{R}$ and let $\widehat{G}$ be a complex Langlands dual group for G. The isogeny $G\to\bar{G}$ dualizes to an isogeny $\widehat{\bar{G}}\to\widehat{G}$. Let $\widehat{Z}$ be the kernel of the latter isogeny. We let $Z(\widehat{\bar{G}})^+$ denote the preimage of $Z(\widehat{G})^\Gamma$ in $Z(\widehat{\bar{G}})$. In this way one obtains a functor

$$\mathcal{R}\to\mathrm{FinAbGrp},\qquad [Z\to G]\mapsto\pi_0(Z(\widehat{\bar{G}})^+)^*,$$

where $*$ denotes Pontryagin-dual.

Proposition 6.3. *The functors $\pi_0(Z(\widehat{\bar{G}})^+)^*$ and $\bar{Y}_{+,tor}$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. For every maximal torus $S\subset G$ there exists a canonical embedding $Z(\widehat{G})\to\widehat{S}$ and analogously a canonical embedding $Z(\widehat{\bar{G}})\to\widehat{\bar{S}}$. These embeddings provide the identification $X^*(Z(\widehat{G}))=X^*(\widehat{S})/X^*([\widehat{S}]_{\mathrm{ad}})$ and the analogous one for $Z(\widehat{\bar{G}})$. The exact sequence $1\to\widehat{Z}\to Z(\widehat{\bar{G}})^+\to Z(\widehat{G})^\Gamma$ then transforms under X^* to the exact sequence

$$0\to\left[\frac{X^*(\widehat{S})}{X^*([\widehat{S}]_{\mathrm{ad}})}\right]_\Gamma\to\left[\frac{X^*(\widehat{\bar{S}})}{X^*([\widehat{S}]_{\mathrm{ad}})}\right]_+\to X^*(\widehat{Z})\to 0,$$

where the middle term is by definition the quotient of $X^*(\widehat{\bar{S}})/X^*([\widehat{S}]_{\mathrm{ad}})$ by the image of $I(X^*(\widehat{S})/X^*([\widehat{S}]_{\mathrm{ad}}))$. Passing from $\widehat{S}$ to S we see that this quotient is equal to $X_*(\bar{S})/(X_*(S_{\mathrm{sc}})+IX_*(S))$. We conclude that

$$\pi_0(Z(\widehat{\bar{G}})^+)^*\cong[X_*(\bar{S})/(X_*(S_{\mathrm{sc}})+IX_*(S))]_{\mathrm{tor}}.$$

The canonicity of the embedding $Z(\widehat{G})\to\widehat{S}$ implies that the above isomorphisms for all choices of S splice together to provide the isomorphism stated in the proposition. $\square$

Corollary 6.4. *There is a perfect pairing*

$$H^1(u\to W,Z\to G)\otimes\pi_0(Z(\widehat{\bar{G}})^+)\to\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

functorial in $[Z\to G]\in\mathcal{R}$.

In the situation that $Z=Z(G_{\mathrm{der}})$, we have $\bar{G}=G_{\mathrm{ad}}\times G/G_{\mathrm{der}}$ and consequently $\widehat{\bar{G}}=[\widehat{G}]_{\mathrm{sc}}\times Z(\widehat{G})^\circ$. Note that if G is split, this implies $\pi_0(Z(\widehat{\bar{G}})^+)=Z([\widehat{G}]_{\mathrm{sc}})$, which is in accordance with Corollary 4.11.

Our next task is to show how any fixed normalization of the endoscopic transfer factor of Langlands and Shelstad [LS87] for the group G extends to a normalization of the transfer factor for any rigid inner twist of G. Before we can address this issue, we need a slight refinement of the notion of endoscopic datum. We begin by recalling that notion, following [LS87, §1.2] and [KS99, §2.1]. An endoscopic datum for G is a tuple $(H, \mathcal{H}, s, \eta)$, where H is a quasi-split connected reductive group defined over F; $\mathcal{H}$ is a split extension of W_F by $\widehat{H}$ such that the homomorphism $W_F \to \mathrm{Out}(\widehat{H})$ provided by this extension is identified with the homomorphism $W_F \to \mathrm{Out}(H)$ provided by the rational structure of H via the natural isomorphism $\mathrm{Out}(\widehat{H}) \cong \mathrm{Out}(H)$; $s \in Z(\widehat{H})$ is a semi-simple element; $\eta : \mathcal{H} \to {}^L G$ is an L-embedding mapping $\widehat{H}$ isomorphically to $\mathrm{Cent}(\eta(s), \widehat{G})^\circ$ and $s \in Z(\widehat{H})^\Gamma \cdot \eta^{-1}(Z(\widehat{G}))$. An isomorphism from $(H, \mathcal{H}, s, \eta)$ to another such tuple $(H', \mathcal{H}', s', \eta')$ is an element $g \in \widehat{G}$ satisfying the following two conditions. First, $g\eta(\mathcal{H})g^{-1} = \eta'(\mathcal{H}')$. Write $\beta : \widehat{H} \to \widehat{H}'$ for the isomorphism determined by $\mathrm{Ad}(g)$. The second condition is that $\beta(s)$ and s' become equal modulo $Z(\widehat{H}')^{\Gamma,\circ} \cdot \xi'^{-1}(Z(\widehat{G}))$.

Given an endoscopic datum $(H, \mathcal{H}, s, \eta)$ of G, we may replace it by an equivalent one and assume that $s \in Z(\widehat{H})^\Gamma$. Furthermore, there is a canonical embedding $Z(G) \to H$ and we may form $\bar{H} = H/Z$. The isogeny $H \to \bar{H}$ dualizes to an isogeny $\widehat{\bar{H}} \to \widehat{H}$ and we obtain as before $Z(\widehat{\bar{H}})^+$ which is the preimage of $Z(\widehat{H})^\Gamma$ under that isogeny.

We now propose the following refinement of the notions of endoscopic data and of an isomorphism of endoscopic data. A *refined endoscopic datum* is a tuple $(H, \mathcal{H}, \dot{s}, \eta)$ where H and $\mathcal{H}$ are as before; $\dot{s}$ is an element of $Z(\widehat{\bar{H}})^+$, whose image in $Z(\widehat{H})^\Gamma$ we denote by s; and η is again as before. It is obvious that a refined endoscopic datum $(H, \mathcal{H}, \dot{s}, \eta)$ gives rise to an (ordinary) endoscopic datum $(H, \mathcal{H}, s, \eta)$ and we argued that up to equivalence every (ordinary) endoscopic datum comes from a refined one. An *isomorphism of refined endoscopic data* between $(H, \mathcal{H}, \dot{s}, \eta)$ and $(H', \mathcal{H}', \dot{s}', \eta')$ is an element $g \in \widehat{G}$ satisfying the following two conditions. First, $g\eta(\mathcal{H})g^{-1} = \eta'(\mathcal{H}')$. Write $\beta : \widehat{H} \to \widehat{H}'$ for the isomorphism determined by $\mathrm{Ad}(g)$. This isomorphism lifts uniquely to an isomorphism $\bar{\beta} : \widehat{\bar{H}} \to \widehat{\bar{H}'}$. The second condition is that $\bar{\beta}(\dot{s})$ and $\dot{s}'$ become equal in $\pi_0(Z(\widehat{\bar{H}'})^+)$.

Clearly, an isomorphism of refined endoscopic data induces an isomorphism between the corresponding ordinary endoscopic data. However, the converse is not true – two non-isomorphic refined endoscopic data may give isomorphic ordinary endoscopic data. Indeed, the requirement imposed on an isomorphism of ordinary endoscopic data is that $\beta(s)$ and s' be equal in a quotient of $\pi_0(Z(\widehat{H})^\Gamma)$, while the requirement for an isomorphism of refined endoscopic data is that $\bar{\beta}(\dot{s})$ and $\dot{s}'$ are equal in $\pi_0(Z(\widehat{\bar{H}})^+)$, and the latter surjects onto $\pi_0(Z(\widehat{H})^\Gamma)$ with finite kernel. It follows that every isomorphism class of ordinary endoscopic data can be refined in only finitely many ways up to isomorphism. We will see that this new notion of an isomorphism is necessary in order for the value of the endoscopic transfer factor we are about to define to be invariant under isomorphisms of endoscopic data. This is related to the problem discovered by Arthur [Art06, §3] that an absolute transfer factor for a non-quasi-split connected reductive group will not be invariant under all automorphisms of the (ordinary) endoscopic datum. Our stricter notion of an isomorphism alleviates this problem.

We now proceed to show how rigidified inner twists provide the means to extend normalizations of transfer factors. For this, we take G to be a connected reductive group defined and quasi-split over F, Z the center of the derived subgroup of G, and $(\xi, z) : G \to G'$ a rigid inner twist of G. Let $\dot{\mathfrak{e}} = (H, \mathcal{H}, \dot{s}, \eta)$ be a refined endoscopic datum for G and let $\mathfrak{e} = (H, \mathcal{H}, s, \eta)$ be the corresponding ordinary endoscopic datum. Unfortunately, the notation $\mathfrak{e}$ for an endoscopic datum collides with the earlier notation $\mathfrak{e}$ for elements of $\mathcal{I}$. From now on, we will not use $\mathfrak{e}$ to denote elements of $\mathcal{I}$. Let $\mathfrak{z} = (H_{\mathfrak{z}}, \eta_{\mathfrak{z}})$ be a z-pair for $\mathfrak{e}$. We recall [KS99, §2.2] that $H_{\mathfrak{z}}$ is an extension of H by an induced torus, and $\eta_{\mathfrak{z}} : \mathcal{H} \to {}^L H_{\mathfrak{z}}$ is an L-embedding extending the embedding $\widehat{H} \to \widehat{H}_{\mathfrak{z}}$ dual to the surjection $H_{\mathfrak{z}} \to H$. Then this data (without the element z) gives rise to relative transfer factors for both G and G', which are functions

$$\Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}] : H_{\mathfrak{z}, G\text{-sr}}(F) \times G_{\text{sr}}(F) \times H_{\mathfrak{z}, G\text{-sr}}(F) \times G_{\text{sr}}(F) \to \mathbb{C}.$$

$$\Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}, \xi] : H_{\mathfrak{z}, G\text{-sr}}(F) \times G'_{\text{sr}}(F) \times H_{\mathfrak{z}, G\text{-sr}}(F) \times G'_{\text{sr}}(F) \to \mathbb{C}.$$

These function are constructed in [LS87]. See in particular [LS87, §3.7], where their value is called $\Delta(\gamma_H, \gamma_G; \bar{\gamma}_H, \bar{\gamma}_G)$. We have added the notation in brackets to indicate the additional data they depend on. Recall that G_{sr} is the set of strongly-regular semi-simple elements. To explain $H_{\mathfrak{z}, G\text{-sr}}$, recall that to any maximal torus $S \subset G$ corresponds a canonical conjugacy class of embeddings $\widehat{S} \to \widehat{G}$, called admissible. An isomorphism $i : S^H \to S$ from a maximal torus of H to a maximal torus of G is called admissible, if it is the composition of an admissible embedding $\widehat{S^H} \to \widehat{H}$ with the datum η and the inverse of an admissible embedding $\widehat{S} \to \widehat{G}$. For $h \in S^H$, the element $i(h)$ is called an image of h and the elements h and $i(h)$ are said to be related. The subset $H_{\mathfrak{z}, G\text{-sr}}$ consists of the preimages of those elements of H that are related to strongly-regular semi-simple elements of G.

In fact, as pointed out in [KS12], there are four different ways to normalize the relative transfer factor, and these are called $\Delta, \Delta', \Delta_D, \Delta'_D$. We will work here with Δ, but our discussion applies equally well with trivial modifications to the other three versions. An absolute transfer factor is a function

$$\Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}]_{\text{abs}} : H_{\mathfrak{z}, G\text{-sr}}(F) \times G_{\text{sr}}(F) \to \mathbb{C},$$

which is non-zero for any pair $(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta)$ of related elements, and has the property

$$\Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}]_{\text{abs}}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z},1}, \delta_1) \cdot \Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}]_{\text{abs}}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z},2}, \delta_2)^{-1} = \Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}](\gamma_{\mathfrak{z},1}, \delta_1, \gamma_{\mathfrak{z},2}, \delta_2)$$

for any two pairs $(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z},1}, \delta_1)$ and $(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z},2}, \delta_2)$ of related elements. In contrast to the case of $\Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}]$, a function $\Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}]_{\text{abs}}$ as above is not unique. The condition imposed on it specifies it only up to multiplication by a complex scalar in the unit circle. A normalization of $\Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}]_{\text{abs}}$ can be specified by either choosing a splitting for G [LS87, §3.7], or by choosing a Whittaker datum for G [KS99, §5.3]. However, since G' is not quasi-split, these normalizations are not available for the absolute transfer factor $\Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}, \xi]_{\text{abs}}$ corresponding to the relative transfer factor $\Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}, \xi]$. However, using the rigid inner twist (ξ, z) and the refinement $\dot{\mathfrak{e}}$ of $\mathfrak{e}$, we can obtain from any fixed normalization of $\Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}]_{\text{abs}}$ a corresponding normalization of $\Delta[\dot{\mathfrak{e}}, \mathfrak{z}, \xi]_{\text{abs}}$. We do this following the recipe of [Kal11, §2.2] but using the rigid inner twists developed here.

Let $\delta' \in G'_{\text{sr}}(F)$ and $\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}} \in H_{\mathfrak{z}}(F)$ be related elements. Let $\gamma \in H(F)$ be the image of $\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}$ under the map $H_{\mathfrak{z}} \to H$. By [Kot82, Cor 2.2] there exists $\delta \in G_{\text{sr}}(F)$ stably-conjugate to δ'. Let S^H be the centralizer of γ and S be the centralizer of δ. There exists a unique admissible isomorphism $\phi_{\gamma,\delta} : S^H \to S$ carrying γ to δ. This isomorphism identifies the embedded copies of Z into both tori and induces an isomorphism $\bar{\phi}_{\gamma,\delta} : \bar{S}^H \to \bar{S}$. Composing the dual of the inverse of this isomorphism with the embedding $Z(\hat{\bar{H}})^+ \to \widehat{\bar{S}^H}^+$ we obtain from $\dot{s}$ an element $\dot{s}_{\gamma,\delta} \in \hat{\bar{S}}^+$. We put

$$\Delta[\dot{\mathfrak{e}}, \mathfrak{z}, \xi, z]_{\text{abs}}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta') = \Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}]_{\text{abs}}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta) \cdot \langle \text{inv}(\delta, \delta'), \dot{s}_{\gamma,\delta} \rangle^{-1}. \tag{6.1}$$

where the pairing $\langle\rangle$ is the one from Corollary 6.4 applied to $G = S$.

Proposition 6.5. *The value of $\Delta[\dot{\mathfrak{e}}, \mathfrak{z}, \xi, z](\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta')$ does not depend on the choice of δ, and the function $\Delta[\dot{\mathfrak{e}}, \mathfrak{z}, \xi, z]$ is an absolute transfer factor. Moreover, the function $\Delta[\dot{\mathfrak{e}}, \mathfrak{z}, \xi, z]$ does not change if we replace $\dot{\mathfrak{e}}$ by an isomorphic refined endoscopic datum, or if we replace (ξ, z) by an isomorphic rigid inner twist.*

Proof. We begin by showing independence of δ. Let $\delta_0 \in G_{\text{sr}}(F)$ be another element stably conjugate to δ'. Then δ and δ_0 are also stably-conjugate, and if $g_0 \in G(\overline{F})$ is such that $\text{Ad}(g_0)\delta_0 = \delta$, then we have [LS87, §3.4]

$$\Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}]_{\text{abs}}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta) = \Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}]_{\text{abs}}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta_0) \cdot \langle \text{inv}(\delta_0, \delta), s_{\gamma,\delta_0} \rangle^{-1}.$$

Here $\text{inv}(\delta_0, \delta)$ is the class of $\sigma \mapsto g_0^{-1}\sigma(g_0)$ in $H^1(\Gamma, S_0)$, with S_0 the centralizer of δ_0, and $s_{\gamma,\delta_0} \in \widehat{S}_0^\Gamma$ is the image of s under the composition of the inclusion $Z(\widehat{H})^\Gamma \to [\widehat{S}^H]^\Gamma$ and the inverse of the dual of the admissible isomorphism $\phi_{\gamma,\delta_0} : S^H \to S_0$ carrying γ to δ_0. The pairing $\langle\rangle$ is the usual Tate-Nakayama pairing. By Corollary 5.12, we have

$$\langle \text{inv}(\delta_0, \delta), s_{\gamma,\delta_0} \rangle = \langle \text{inv}(\delta_0, \delta), \dot{s}_{\gamma,\delta_0} \rangle,$$

where on the right we are using the pairing of Corollary 6.4. Now consider $\langle \text{inv}(\delta, \delta'), \dot{s}_{\gamma,\delta} \rangle$. The functoriality of the pairing implies that if we transport $\text{inv}(\delta, \delta')$ to $H^1(u \to W, Z \to S_0)$ and $\dot{s}_{\gamma,\delta}$ to $\widehat{\bar{S}_0}^+$ using the isomorphism $\text{Ad}(g_0) : S_0 \to S$ and pair them afterwards, we will obtain the same result. The image of $\dot{s}_{\gamma,\delta}$ in $\widehat{\bar{S}_0}^+$ is equal to $\dot{s}_{\gamma,\delta_0}$. If $g \in G(\overline{F})$ is the element with $\delta' = \xi(g\delta g^{-1})$, then $\text{inv}(\delta, \delta')$ is represented by the 1-cocycle $w \mapsto g^{-1}z(w)w(g)$ and its image in $H^1(u \to W, Z \to S_0)$ is represented by the 1-cocycle $g_0^{-1}g^{-1}z(w)w(g)g_0$. It follows that the product $\langle \text{inv}(\delta_0, \delta), s_{\gamma,\delta_0} \rangle \cdot \langle \text{inv}(\delta, \delta'), \dot{s}_{\gamma,\delta} \rangle$ is equal to the pairing of $\dot{s}_{\gamma,\delta_0}$ with the product

$$g_0^{-1}g^{-1}z(w)w(g)g_0 \cdot g_0^{-1}w(g_0)$$

and this represents $\text{inv}(\delta_0, \delta')$. We conclude

$$\langle \text{inv}(\delta_0, \delta), s_{\gamma,\delta_0} \rangle \cdot \langle \text{inv}(\delta, \delta'), \dot{s}_{\gamma,\delta} \rangle = \langle \text{inv}(\delta_0, \delta'), \dot{s}_{\gamma,\delta_0} \rangle$$

and this completes the proof of independence of the choice of δ.

The invariance under isomorphisms of rigid inner twists follows immediately from Fact 6.2. For the invariance under isomorphisms of the refined endoscopic datum, say $g \in \widehat{G}$ is an isomorphism from $\dot{\mathfrak{e}} = (H, \mathcal{H}, \dot{s}, \eta)$ to $\dot{\mathfrak{e}}' = (H', \mathcal{H}', \dot{s}', \eta')$. Write $\widehat{\beta} : \widehat{H} \to \widehat{H}'$ for the isomorphism induced by $\text{Ad}(g)$, and write $\beta : H' \to H$ for an isomorphism defined over F and dual to $\widehat{\beta}$ (β is determined up to $H(F)$-conjugacy, and the particular choice is irrelevant). We let $H'_{\mathfrak{z}}$ be the extension of H' obtained by composing the map $H_{\mathfrak{z}} \to H$ with with β^{-1}, and set

$$\mathfrak{z}' = (H'_{\mathfrak{z}}, \eta_{\mathfrak{z}} \circ \eta^{-1} \circ \text{Ad}(g^{-1}) \circ \eta').$$

The second component of $\mathfrak{z}'$ make sense, because η is injective and its image is equal to the image of $\mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1}) \circ \eta'$. Now $\mathfrak{z}'$ is a z-pair for $\mathfrak{e}'$ and we want to show that for any $\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}} \in H'_{\mathfrak{z}}(F) = H_{\mathfrak{z}}(F)$ and $\delta' \in G'_{\mathrm{sr}}(F)$ we have

$$\Delta[\dot{\mathfrak{e}}', \mathfrak{z}', \xi, z](\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta') = \Delta[\dot{\mathfrak{e}}, \mathfrak{z}, \xi, z](\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta').$$

For this we choose $\delta \in G_{\mathrm{sr}}(F)$ stably-conjugate to δ'. Arthur states in [Art06, §3] that

$$\Delta[\mathfrak{e}', \mathfrak{z}'](\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta) = \Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}](\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}, \delta),$$

(the contribution $\widehat{\zeta_G}(z(\alpha))$ is trivial because G is quasi-split) so our job is reduced to showing that

$$\langle \mathrm{inv}(\delta, \delta'), \dot{s}_{\beta(\gamma'),\delta} \rangle = \langle \mathrm{inv}(\delta, \delta'), \dot{s}'_{\gamma',\delta} \rangle.$$

Here $\gamma' \in H'(F)$ is the image of $\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}} \in H'_{\mathfrak{z}}(F)$ under the map $H'_{\mathfrak{z}} \to H'$. If we let $\gamma \in H(F)$ be the image of $\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}$ under the map $H_{\mathfrak{z}} \to H$, then $\beta(\gamma') = \gamma$, and $\phi_{\gamma',\delta} = \phi_{\gamma,\delta} \circ \beta$. Since $\widehat{\overline{\beta}}(\dot{s}) = \dot{s}'$ in $\pi_0(Z(\widehat{\overline{H}}')^+)$, we see that $\dot{s}_{\beta(\gamma'),\delta} = \dot{s}_{\gamma,\delta}$ in $\pi_0(\widehat{\overline{S}}^+)$ and the proof is complete.

We now proceed to show that $\Delta[\dot{\mathfrak{e}}, \mathfrak{z}, \xi, z]_{\mathrm{abs}}$ is indeed an absolute transfer factor. This amounts to showing that for two pairs $(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z},1}, \delta'_1)$ and $(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z},2}, \delta'_2)$ of related elements we have

$$\Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}, \xi, z]_{\mathrm{abs}}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z},1}, \delta'_1) \cdot \Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}, \xi, z]_{\mathrm{abs}}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{z},2}, \delta'_2)^{-1} = \Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}, \xi](\gamma_{\mathfrak{z},1}, \delta'_1, \gamma_{\mathfrak{z},2}, \delta'_2).$$

By construction, this amounts to choosing $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in G(F)$ such that δ_1 is stably conjugate to δ'_1 and δ_2 is stably conjugate to δ'_2 and showing that

$$\frac{\langle \mathrm{inv}(\delta_1, \delta'_1), \dot{s}_{\gamma_1,\delta_1} \rangle^{-1}}{\langle \mathrm{inv}(\delta_2, \delta'_2), \dot{s}_{\gamma_2,\delta_2} \rangle^{-1}} = \frac{\Delta[\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{e}, \xi](\gamma_{\mathfrak{z},1}, \delta'_1, \gamma_{\mathfrak{z},2}, \delta'_2)}{\Delta[\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{e}](\gamma_{\mathfrak{z},1}, \delta_1, \gamma_{\mathfrak{z},2}, \delta_2)}.$$

Applying [LS87, Lemma 4.2.A], we see that the right hand side is equal to

$$\left\langle \mathrm{inv}\left(\frac{\gamma_1, \delta'_1}{\gamma_2, \delta'_2}\right), s_U \right\rangle.$$

This object is constructed in [LS87, §3.4] and we will now recall its construction. We let S_i^H be the centralizer of γ_i in H, S_i the centralizer of δ_i in G, and S'_i the centralizer of δ'_1 in G'. We fix $g_i \in G_{\mathrm{sc}}$ with $\xi(g_i \delta_i g_i^{-1}) = \delta'_i$. We fix an arbitrary 1-cochain $u : \Gamma \to G_{\mathrm{sc}}$ with the property $\xi^{-1}\sigma(\xi) = \mathrm{Ad}(u_\sigma)$, and form the 1-cochains $v_i(\sigma) = g_i^{-1} u(\sigma) \sigma(g_i)$. Then v_i takes values in $S_{i,\mathrm{sc}}$ and its differential takes values in $Z(G_{\mathrm{sc}})$. Moreover, $dv_1 = dv_2$, so if we form the torus $U = S_{1,\mathrm{sc}} \times S_{2,\mathrm{sc}}/Z(G_{\mathrm{sc}})$, where $Z(G_{\mathrm{sc}})$ is embedded into the product by $z \mapsto (z, z^{-1})$, the 1-cochain $\Gamma \to U, \sigma \mapsto (v_1(\sigma)^{-1}, v_2(\sigma))$ is in fact a 1-cocycle. We denote its class by

$$\mathrm{inv}\left(\frac{\gamma_1, \delta'_1}{\gamma_2, \delta'_2}\right).$$

To explain s_U, consider the admissible isomorphisms $\phi_{\gamma_i,\delta_i} : S_i^H \to S_i$. Let $s_{\gamma_i,\delta_i} \in \widehat{S}_i^\Gamma$ be the image of s under the composition of the natural inclusion $Z(\widehat{H})^\Gamma \to \widehat{S}_i^H$ with $\widehat{\phi}_{\gamma_i,\delta_i}^{-1}$. We choose an arbitrary lift $\tilde{s}_{\gamma_1,\delta_1} \in [\widehat{S}_1]_{\mathrm{sc}}$ of the image of s_{γ_1,δ_1} in $[\widehat{S}_1]_{\mathrm{ad}}^\Gamma$ and set $\tilde{s}_{\gamma_2,\delta_2} = \widehat{\phi}_{\delta_2,\delta_1}(\tilde{s}_{\gamma_1,\delta_1}) \in [\widehat{S}_2]_{\mathrm{sc}}$. Since $\widehat{\phi}_{\delta_2,\delta_1}$ is Γ-equivariant, it identifies the differentials of $\tilde{s}_{\gamma_i,\delta_i} \in C^0(\Gamma, [\widehat{S}_i]_{\mathrm{sc}})$. These differentials however belong to $Z(\widehat{G}_{\mathrm{sc}})$ and we therefore conclude that they are equal. It follows that the image s_U of $(\tilde{s}_{\gamma_1,\delta_1}, \tilde{s}_{\gamma_2,\delta_2})$ in the quotient $\widehat{U}$ of $[\widehat{S}_1]_{\text{sc}} \times [\widehat{S}_2]_{\text{sc}}$ by the image of $Z(\widehat{G}_{\text{sc}})$ under the diagonal embedding belongs to $\widehat{U}^\Gamma$. One checks that $\widehat{U}$ is the dual torus to U and thus the element s_U can be paired with the element $\text{inv}(\gamma_1, \delta_1'/\gamma_2, \delta_2')$ using Tate-Nakayama duality.

Let us now prove the quality

$$\frac{\langle \text{inv}(\delta_1, \delta_1'), \dot{s}_{\gamma_1,\delta_1} \rangle^{-1}}{\langle \text{inv}(\delta_2, \delta_2'), \dot{s}_{\gamma_2,\delta_2} \rangle^{-1}} = \left\langle \text{inv}\left(\frac{\gamma_1, \delta_1'}{\gamma_2, \delta_2'}\right), s_U \right\rangle. \tag{6.2}$$

We begin by forming the torus $V = S_1 \times S_2/Z(G)$, where $Z(G)$ is embedded into $S_1 \times S_2$ via $z \mapsto (z, z^{-1})$. The homomorphism $S_1 \times S_2 \to V$ defines the morphism $[Z \times Z \to S_1 \times S_2] \to [Z \times Z/Z \to V]$ in the category $\mathcal{T}$. We claim that the image in $H^1(u \to W, Z \times Z/Z \to V)$ of the element

$$(\text{inv}(\delta_1, \delta_1')^{-1}, \text{inv}(\delta_2, \delta_2')) \in H^1(u \to W, Z \times Z \to S_1 \times S_2)$$

under that morphism belongs to $H^1(\Gamma, V)$. Indeed, the restriction maps $H^1(u \to W, Z \to S_i) \to \text{Hom}(u_\infty, Z)^\Gamma$ factor as the composition of $H^1(u \to W, Z \to S_i) \to H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ and the restriction $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G) \to \text{Hom}(u_\infty, Z)^\Gamma$, and the images of $\text{inv}(\delta_i, \delta_i')$ in $H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)$ are both equal to the class of the rigidifying element z, so the claim follows from the exact sequence (4.10).

We also have a homomorphism $U \to V$ and we claim that the image of the element $\text{inv}(\gamma_1, \delta_1'/\gamma_2, \delta_2') \in H^1(\Gamma, U)$ in $H^1(\Gamma, V)$ is equal to the image of the element $(\text{inv}(\delta_1, \delta_1')^{-1}, \text{inv}(\delta_2, \delta_2'))$. For this, we choose an object $\mathfrak{k} \in \mathcal{I}(Z \times Z \to S_1 \times S_2)$ and use the isomorphism $H^1(u_\mathfrak{k} \to W_\mathfrak{k}) \to H^1(u \to W)$ of Proposition 4.8. From the rigidifying element $z \in \bar{Z}^1(u_\mathfrak{k} \to W_\mathfrak{k}, Z \to G)$ we obtain the 1-cochain $\Gamma \to G, \sigma \mapsto z(1 \boxtimes \sigma)$, well-defined up to $B^1(\Gamma, Z)$. We fix a representative in this $B^1(\Gamma, Z)$-class and decompose it as $z(\sigma) = \bar{u}(\sigma) \cdot x(\sigma)$ with $\bar{u}(\sigma) \in G_{\text{der}}$ and $x(\sigma) \in Z(G)$. Fix a lift $u(\sigma) \in G_{\text{sc}}$ of $\bar{u}(\sigma)$. By construction then

$$\text{inv}\left(\frac{\gamma_1, \delta_1'}{\gamma_2, \delta_2'}\right) = ((g_1^{-1}u(\sigma)\sigma(g))^{-1}, (g_2^{-1}u(\sigma)\sigma(g))).$$

The image in V of this 1-cochain is equal to $((g_1^{-1}z(\sigma)\sigma(g))^{-1}, (g_2^{-1}z(\sigma)\sigma(g)))$, which is indeed the image of $(\text{inv}(\delta_1, \delta_1')^{-1}, \text{inv}(\delta_2, \delta_2'))$, as claimed.

According to the functoriality of the pairings of Tate-Nakayama and of Corollary 6.4, as well as their compatibility expressed in Corollary 5.7, the equality (6.2) will be established once we produce an element of $\widehat{\bar{V}}^+$ whose image in $\widehat{\bar{S}_1}^+ \times \widehat{\bar{S}_2}^+$ is equal to $(\dot{s}_{\gamma_1,\delta_1}, \dot{s}_{\gamma_2,\delta_2})$ and whose image in $\widehat{\bar{U}}^+$ maps to s_U under the isogeny $\widehat{\bar{U}}^+ \to \widehat{U}^\Gamma$. Here we have formed $\bar{U}$ from the object $[Z(G_{\text{sc}}) \to U] \in \mathcal{T}$.

We must first understand the tori $\widehat{V}$ and $\widehat{\bar{V}}$. For this we use a trick of Kottwitz-Shelstad from [KS99, §4.4]. The admissible isomorphism $\phi_{\delta_2,\delta_1} : S_2 \to S_1$ is defined over $\overline{F}$ and using it we can form the map

$$f : S_1 \times S_2 \to S_1 \times S_2, \qquad (s_1, s_2) \mapsto (s_1\phi_{\delta_2,\delta_1}(s_2), s_2).$$

This is an isomorphism of algebraic tori defined over $\overline{F}$. The transport of the Γ-action from its source to its target is given by

$$f \circ \sigma \circ f^{-1}(t_1, t_2) = (\sigma(t_1), \sigma(t_2)) \cdot \left(\frac{\phi_{\delta_2,\delta_1}(\sigma(t_2))}{\sigma\phi_{\delta_2,\delta_1}(t_2)}, 1\right).$$

We obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & V \arrow[d] & S_1 \times S_2 \arrow[l] \arrow[d] \\
S_{1,\mathrm{sc}} \times S_{2,\mathrm{ad}} \arrow[r] & S_1 \times S_{2,\mathrm{ad}} & S_1 \times S_2 \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{6.3}$$

where all vertical arrows are isomorphisms induced by f, and all horizontal arrows are the natural componentwise arrows. The dual diagram is then

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widehat{U} & \widehat{V} \arrow[l] \arrow[r] & \widehat{S}_1 \times \widehat{S}_2 \\
{[\widehat{S}_1]_{\mathrm{ad}} \times [\widehat{S}_2]_{\mathrm{sc}}} \arrow[u] & \widehat{S}_1 \times [\widehat{S}_2]_{\mathrm{sc}} \arrow[l] \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & \widehat{S}_1 \times \widehat{S}_2 \arrow[u, "\widehat{f}"']
\end{tikzcd} \tag{6.4}$$

The left vertical isomorphism sends $(\bar{s}_1, s_2) \in [\widehat{S}_1]_{\mathrm{ad}} \times [\widehat{S}_2]_{\mathrm{sc}}$ to $(s_1, \widehat{\phi}_{\delta_2,\delta_1}(s_1)s_2) \in [\widehat{S}_1]_{\mathrm{sc}} \times [\widehat{S}_2]_{\mathrm{sc}}/Z(\widehat{G}_{\mathrm{sc}}) = \widehat{U}$, where $s_1 \in [\widehat{S}_1]_{\mathrm{sc}}$ is any lift of $\bar{s}_1$. The right isomorphism is given by $(s_1, s_2) \mapsto (s_1, \widehat{\phi}_{\delta_2,\delta_1}(s_1)s_2)$ and transports the Γ-action on its target to the twisted Γ-action on its source given by $\widehat{f}^{-1} \circ \sigma \circ \widehat{f}(s_1, s_2) = (\sigma(s_1), \frac{\sigma\widehat{\phi}_{\delta_2,\delta_1}(s_1)}{\widehat{\phi}_{\delta_2,\delta_1}(\sigma(s_1))}\sigma(s_2))$.

Under the middle vertical isomorphism of (6.3), $Z \times Z/Z \to V$ is identified with $Z \to S_1 \times S_{2,\mathrm{ad}}$ where Z is embedded into S_1. Thus we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widehat{\bar{U}} & \widehat{\bar{V}} \arrow[l] \arrow[r] & \widehat{\bar{S}_1} \times \widehat{\bar{S}_2} \\
{[\widehat{S}_1]_{\mathrm{sc}} \times [\widehat{S}_2]_{\mathrm{sc}}} \arrow[u] & {[\widehat{S}_1]_{\mathrm{sc}} \times Z(\widehat{G})^\circ \times [\widehat{S}_2]_{\mathrm{sc}}} \arrow[l] \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & {[\widehat{S}_1]_{\mathrm{sc}} \times Z(\widehat{G})^\circ \times [\widehat{S}_2]_{\mathrm{sc}} \times Z(\widehat{G})^\circ} \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the bottom arrows are given by $(s_1, s_2) \mapsfrom (s_1, z, s_2)$ and $(s_1, z, s_2) \mapsto (s_1, z, s_2, 1)$.

We will now show that there exists $\dot{s}_V \in \widehat{\bar{V}}^+$ which maps to $(\dot{s}_{\gamma_1,\delta_1}, \dot{s}_{\gamma_2,\delta_2}) \in \widehat{\bar{S}_1}^+ \times \widehat{\bar{S}_2}^+$ and to s_U in $\widehat{U}^\Gamma$. The right vertical isomorphism sends (s_1, z_1, s_2, z_2) to $(s_1z_1, \widehat{\phi}_{\delta_2,\delta_1}(s_1z_1)s_2z_2)$. By construction, we have $\dot{s}_{\gamma_2,\delta_2} = \widehat{\phi}_{\delta_2,\delta_1}(\dot{s}_{\gamma_1,\delta_1})$ and hence the preimage of $(\dot{s}_{\gamma_1,\delta_1}, \dot{s}_{\gamma_2,\delta_2})$ under that isomorphism is equal to $(s_1, z_1, 1, 1)$, with $s_1z_1 = \dot{s}_{\gamma_1,\delta_1}$ being the decomposition according to $\widehat{\bar{S}_1} = [\widehat{S}_1]_{\mathrm{sc}} \times Z(\widehat{G})^\circ$. Thus if we let $\dot{s}_V$ be the image of $(s_1, z_1, 1)$ under the middle vertical isomorphism, then $\dot{s}_V$ does indeed map to $(\dot{s}_{\gamma_1,\delta_1}, \dot{s}_{\gamma_2,\delta_2})$. To show that $\dot{s}_V \in \widehat{\bar{V}}^+$, we must argue that $(s_{\gamma_1,\delta_1}, 1) \in \widehat{S}_1 \times [\widehat{S}_2]_{\mathrm{sc}}$ is fixed under the twisted action of Γ for which the middle vertical isomorphism of (6.4) is Γ-equivariant. This amounts to showing that $\sigma\widehat{\phi}_{\delta_2,\delta_1}(s_{\gamma_1,\delta_1}) = \widehat{\phi}_{\delta_2,\delta_1}(\sigma(s_{\gamma_1,\delta_1}))$ for all $\sigma \in \Gamma$, and this follows from $s_{\gamma_1,\delta_1} \in \widehat{S}_1^\Gamma$, $s_{\gamma_2,\delta_2} \in \widehat{S}_2^\Gamma$ and $\widehat{\phi}_{\delta_2,\delta_1}(s_{\gamma_1,\delta_1}) = s_{\gamma_2,\delta_2}$. Finally, since $s_1 \in [\widehat{S}_1]_{\mathrm{sc}}$ is a preimage of the image of s_{γ_1,δ_1} in $[\widehat{S}_1]_{\mathrm{ad}}$, we see that $\dot{s}_V$ maps to $s_U \in \widehat{U}^\Gamma$.

□

6.3 Structure of L-packets and endoscopic transfer

The discussion of the previous section suggests a way to phrase the conjectural local Langlands correspondence for an arbitrary connected reductive p-adic group in an unambiguous way. Let G again be a connected reductive algebraic group defined and quasi-split over F, and let Z be the center of its derived subgroup. By a representation of a rigid inner twist of G we will mean a quadruple (G', ξ, z, π), where $(\xi, z) : G \to G'$ is a rigid inner twist and π is an irreducible admissible representation of $G'(F)$. By an isomorphism $f : (G_1, \xi_1, z_1, \pi_1) \to (G_2, \xi_2, z_2, \pi_2)$ we mean an isomorphism f from (ξ_1, z_1) to (ξ_2, z_2) such that the representations $\pi_2 \circ f$ and π_1 are isomorphic. According to Fact 6.1, two representations (G', ξ, z, π_1) and (G', ξ, z, π_2) of the same rigid inner twist are isomorphic if and only if π_1 and π_2 differ by an inner automorphism by an element of $G'(F)$.

Fix a Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{w} = (B, \psi)$ for G. Given a tempered Langlands parameter $\varphi : W_F \times \mathrm{SU}_2 \to {}^LG$, we write $S_\varphi = \mathrm{Cent}(\varphi, \widehat{G})$ and let S_φ^+ be the preimage of S_φ in $\widehat{\bar{G}}$. Then $Z(\widehat{\bar{G}})^+ \subset S_\varphi^+$. We expect that for each φ there is a finite set Π_φ of isomorphism classes of tempered representations of rigid inner twists of G and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Irr}(\pi_0(S_\varphi^+)) \arrow[r, "\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}"] \arrow[d] & \Pi_\varphi \arrow[d] \\
X^*(\pi_0(Z(\widehat{\bar{G}})^+)) \arrow[r] & H^1(u \to W, Z \to G)
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which the top horizontal arrow is a bijection, the bottom horizontal arrow is given by the perfect pairing of Corollary 6.4, the left vertical arrow assigns to each irreducible representation (the restriction of) its central character, and the right vertical arrow sends a quadruple (G', ξ, z, π) to the class of z. In accordance with Shahidi's tempered L-packet conjecture [Sh90, §9] we expect that Π_φ contains a unique element $(G, \mathrm{id}, 1, \pi)$ such that π is $\mathfrak{w}$-generic and that the top horizontal arrow sends the trivial representation to that constituent.

If we fix a rigid inner twist $(\xi, z) : G \to G'$, the elements of Π_φ over the class of z constitute the L-packet on $G'(F)$ corresponding to φ. This set should be empty precisely when φ is not G'-relevant. When G is split, Corollary 4.11 implies that every inner twist $\xi : G \to G'$ can be rigidified in exactly one way. Thus, the L-packet of each inner twist of G appears exactly once in the compound L-packet Π_φ. If G is not split, then there are multiple ways to rigidify an inner twist $\xi : G \to G'$. We expect that the corresponding subsets of Π_φ contain the same representations. However, there is no canonical way to fix bijections between these sets.

We expect that for a fixed rigid inner twist $(\xi, z) : G \to G'$, the virtual character

$$S\Theta_{\varphi,\xi,z} = \sum_{\substack{\rho \in \mathrm{Irr}(\pi_0(S_\varphi^+)) \\ \rho \mapsto [z]}} \dim(\rho) \Theta_{\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}(\rho)}$$

is a stable function on $G'(F)$ and is independent of $\mathfrak{w}$. Furthermore, for any semi-simple element $\dot{s} \in S_\varphi^+$, put

$$\Theta_{\varphi,\mathfrak{w},\xi,z}^{\dot{s}} = \sum_{\substack{\rho \in \mathrm{Irr}(\pi_0(S_\varphi^+)) \\ \rho \mapsto [z]}} \mathrm{tr}(\rho(\dot{s})) \Theta_{\iota_{\mathfrak{w}}(\rho)}.$$

The element $\dot{s}$ determines a refined endoscopic datum $\dot{\mathfrak{e}} = (H, \mathcal{H}, \dot{s}, \eta)$ just as an element $s \in S_\varphi$ determines a usual endoscopic datum: We let s be the image in S_φ of $\dot{s}$, take $\widehat{H} = \widehat{G}_s^\circ$ and $\mathcal{H} = \widehat{H} \cdot \varphi(W_F)$, and let $\eta : \mathcal{H} \to {}^LG$ be the natural inclusion. By construction the image of φ is contained in $\mathcal{H}$ and taking a z-pair $\mathfrak{z} = (H_\mathfrak{z}, \eta_\mathfrak{z})$ for $\dot{\mathfrak{e}}$ we obtain the tempered Langlands-parameter $\varphi_\mathfrak{e} = \eta_z \circ \varphi$. Let $\Delta[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{w}]$ be the Whittaker normalization of the endoscopic transfer factor [KS99, §5.3] corresponding to the Whittaker datum $\mathfrak{w}$. Using the formula eqrefeq:tf and Proposition 6.5 we obtain a corresponding normalization, let's call it $\Delta[\dot{\mathfrak{e}}, \mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{w}, \xi, z] : H_\mathfrak{z}(F) \times G'_{\text{sr}}(F) \to \mathbb{C}$, of the transfer factor for the group G'. We then expect that if $f^{\dot{\mathfrak{e}}}$ and f are smooth compactly supported functions on $H_\mathfrak{z}(F)$ and $G'(F)$ respectively, whose orbital integrals are $\Delta[\dot{\mathfrak{e}}, \mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{w}, \xi, z]$-matching [KS99, §5.5], then we have

$$S\Theta_{\varphi_\mathfrak{e}, \text{id}, 1}(f^{\dot{\mathfrak{e}}}) = \Theta^{\dot{s}}_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}, \xi, z}(f).$$

REFERENCES

[Art06] J. Arthur, A note on L-packets. Pure Appl. Math. Q. 2 (2006), no. 1, pt. 1, 199–217.

[Art11] J. Arthur, The Endoscopic Classiffication of Representations: Orthogonal and Symplectic Groups, preprint

[AW67] M. F. Atiyah, C.T.C. Wall, Cohomology of groups, in J.W.S. Cassels, A. Fröhlich, Algebraic number theory, Academic Press, Inc., London, 1986. xviii+366 pp. ISBN: 0-12-163251-2

[Bou] N. Bourbaki - Lie groups and Lie algebras. Springer, 2002, ISBN 3-540-42650-7

[DR09] S. DeBacker, M. Reeder, Depth-zero supercuspidal L-packets and their stability. Ann. of Math. (2) 169 (2009), no. 3, 795–901.

[Kal11] T. Kaletha, Endoscopic character identities for depth-zero supercuspidal L-packets, Duke Math. J., 158 (2011), no. 2, 161–224.

[Kalp1] T. Kaletha, Supercuspidal L-packets via isocrystals, arXiv:1004.2230

[Kalp2] T. Kaletha, Epipelagic L-packets and rectifying characters, arXiv:1209.1720

[Kot82] R. E. Kottwitz, Rational conjugacy classes in reductive groups. Duke Math. J. 49 (1982), no. 4, 785–806.

[Kot85] R. E. Kottwitz, Isocrystals with additional structure. Compositio Math. 56 (1985), no. 2, 201–220.

[Kot86] R. E. Kottwitz, Stable trace formula: Elliptic singular terms, Math. Ann. 275 (1986), 365–399.

[Kot97] R. E. Kottwitz, Isocrystals with additional structure. II. Compositio Math. 109 (1997), no. 3, 255–339.

[KS99] R. E. Kottwitz, D. Shelstad, Foundations of twisted endoscopy. Astérisque No. 255 (1999).

[KS12] R. E. Kottwitz, D. Shelstad, On splitting invariants and sign conventions in endoscopic transfer, arXiv:1201.5658

[Lan73] R. P. Langlands, On the classification of irreducible representations of real algebraic groups, Preprint, Institute for Advanced Study, 1973. Appeared in Math. Surveys and Monographs, no. 31, AMS (1988).

[Lan83] R. P. Langlands, Les débuts d'une formule des traces stable. Pub. Math. de l'Univ. Paris VII, 13., Paris, 1983. v+188 pp.

[LS87] R. P. Langlands, D. Shelstad, On the definition of transfer factors, Math. Ann., vol. 278 (1987), 219–271.

[Mi06] J. Milne, Arithmetic duality theorems. Second edition. BookSurge, LLC, Charleston, SC, 2006. viii+339 pp. ISBN: 1-4196-4274-X

[NSW] J. Neukirch, A. Schmidt and K. Wingberg, Cohomology of Number Fields, Springer-Verlag, vol. 323 (2000).

[PR94] V. P. Platonov, A. S. Rapinchuk, Algebraic groups and number theory. Pure and Applied Mathematics, 139. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1994.

[RY12] M. Reeder, J.-K. Yu, Epipelagic representations and invariant theory, preprint.

[Sh90] F. Shahidi, A proof of Langlands' conjecture on Plancherel measures; complementary series for p-adic groups. Ann. of Math. (2) 132 (1990), no. 2, 273–330.

[She79] D. Shelstad, Orbital integrals and a family of groups attached to a real reductive group. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 12 (1979), no. 1, 1–31.

[She81] D. Shelstad, Embeddings of L-groups. Canad. J. Math. 33 (1981), no. 3, 513–558.

[She82] D. Shelstad, L-indistinguishability for real groups. Math. Ann. 259 (1982), no. 3, 385–430.

[She08] D. Shelstad, Tempered endoscopy for real groups. III. Inversion of transfer and L-packet structure. Represent. Theory 12 (2008), 369–402.

[Tat66] J. Tate, The cohomology groups of tori in finite Galois extensions of number fields. Nagoya Math. J. 27 1966 709–719

[Vog93] D. Vogan, The local Langlands conjecture. Representation theory of groups and algebras, 305–379, Contemp. Math., 145, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993

tkaletha@math.princeton.edu
Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544